OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Ten stipulations of Yehoshua (cont.)

The Baraisa presents the ten stipulations enacted by Yehoshua to settle Eretz Yisroel.

The Gemara begins to elaborate on each of the ten stipulations.

Related to the sixth enactment a Baraisa is cited that discusses enactments related to catching fish and Naftali's ownership of the Sea of Tiberias.

Another Baraisa related to the division of Eretz Yisroel is cited.

The Gemara continues to elaborate and cite incidents related to the ten enactments of Yehoshua.

It is noted that the Baraisa enumerated eleven rather than ten enactments.

The Gemara answers that the eleventh enactment was introduced by Shlomo Hamelech rather than Yehoshua.

It is noted that there are additional stipulations that are attributed to Yehoshua that were not enumerated in the list.

The Gemara answers that stipulations that are known to us from individuals are not included in the list.

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What type of wood is one permitted to gather from other's fields?
- 2. Is one obligated to pay for water that he takes from a new spring that emerges in another's property?
- 3. Do the stipulations of Yehoshua apply outside of Eretz Yisroel?
- 4. What enactment was made by Shlomo HaMelech?

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated In loving memory of Mrs. Joan Dachs מרת גושה רחל ע"ה בת ר' אברהם דוד נ"י

Distinctive INSIGHT

The conditions set by Yehoshua for division of the Land תנו רבנן עשרה תנאין התנה יהושע

Nambam (Nizkei Mamon 5:3) writes that Yehoshua and his team of judges established these ten guidelines as rules at the moment the Jewish people were set to divide the land among the tribes. In his commentary to Rambam, הלכה למשה explains that although our Gemara does not mention that Yehoshua set these rules as conditions to the division of the land, Rambam understood that this was the case based upon the discussion later (81b) regarding other conditions and stipulations that were set among the tribes regarding the division of the land. However, Mishnas Ya'avetz (Choshen Mishpat, 30:6) explains that Rambam does not actually write that these were set as conditions regarding dividing the land. Rather, these were communal laws which were accepted universally, but that they were established at this time, based upon the authority of הפקר בית דין הפקר, and these rules therefore apply in all Jewish communities, even outside of Eretz Yisroel.

Sefer ידי אליהו (Tikkun #128) notes that the reason for these laws is that Yehoshua and his Beis Din knew that as society in the land would be established, it was necessary to establish guidelines to avoid strife and conflict between people. People's nature is sometimes to be lax and careless with other people's money and property. However, in regard to their own money people tend to be controlling and unvielding. Yehoshua and the sages who joined him realized that if they did not enact these laws to regulate civic interaction, it would be inevitable that there would be disagreements and controversies among neighbors and the citizens of each city as they dealt with daily issues. The system was therefore set up to define certain communal conditions where everyone would be defined as partners, thus aiming to defuse what would otherwise be volatile and contentious encounters.

> Today's Daf Digest is dedicated The family of ר' חיים בן ר' מיכאל ע"ה Weldler

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By the Gertzfeld, Shapiro, Rubinstein, and Knopf families In loving memory of the 3rd Yaharzeit of ר' גדלי' בן ר' יחיאל מיכל הכהן ז"ל

<u>HALACHAH High</u>light

The parameters of midas S'dom

ומלקטין עצים משדותיהם

It is permitted to gather wood from a friend's field

ne of the stipulations made by Yehoshua was that it is permitted to take wood from a neighbor's field. This enactment, the Gemara explains, is limited to types of the necessity of Yehoshua's enactment is easily underwood where it is logical that the owner would not have used for himself. A question Poskim ask regarding this enactment is why was it necessary? There is a well known principle כופין על מידת סדום - we force a person to not ted to take wood from a neighbor's field. The question behave in a selfish - S'dom type fashion. Seemingly that that remains is why according to Rambam was an enactprinciple should permit taking wood from a neighbor's field that he would not use, why then was it necessary for Yehoshua to make a formal enactment on this matter?

regarding the parameters of the principle of מדת שדום. where the second brother will receive a replacement field, Two brothers inherit two fields from their father. One but מדת סדום cannot force a person to give away property brother wants a particular field because it is close in prox- without any reimbursement and thus to permit someone imity to a field he already owns and the second brother to take wood from a neighbor's field a special enactment also, for some random reason, wants that field. Rambam, was necessary. cited by Shulchan Aruch¹, rules that we force the second brother to allow the first brother to take the field under dispute as a result of the principle of midas S'dom. Rema²

cites Rosh who disagrees, claiming that midas S'dom can only be invoked when it does not involve giving away property that one owns, like a case where one wants to expand his house and a neighbor protests without a substantial claim. In this case, however, upon the death of the father they became partners in each property and we cannot force the second brother to give up his share of the disputed property.

Accordingly, we could explain that according to Rosh stood. The principle of מדת סדום does not allow one to take property that belongs to another person and thus it was necessary for Yehoshua to stipulate that it is permitment necessary. Noda B'Yehudah³ suggests that even according to Rambam one can be forced to give up his property because of מדת סדום only if he will be properly There is a disagreement between Rambam and Rosh reimbursed for that loss, like the case of the brothers

- שו"ע חו"מ סי' קע"ד סע'
 - רמ"א שם
- נוב"י תנינא חו"מ סי' כ"ד

For the sake of Heaven וכל מעשיו לשם שמים

n today's daf we find that every action of Rav Yehudah bar Kenusa was for the sake of heaven.

Rav Chaim Kanievsky, zt"l, recounted that when his former Mashgiach, Rav Eliyahu Dushnitzer, zt"l, was in Minsk there was a seemingly simple man who was a pharmacist by trade. Interestingly, every holy endeavor in the town was invariably brought 1v. to the fore by this pharmacist, who was the driving force for them all.

Rav Dushintzer asked the pharma-

distinction.

lowing fascinating story:

was in Minsk and needed to purchase his way to my pharmacy and asked me avodah, and now I can honestly say the medication he exclaimed, 'I am Over the years I have slowly gotten you are involved in saving lives!'

accolade and told him the truth. 'This is just my way of supporting my fami- al awareness one can easily become a

But the Chofetz Chaim rejected davar she'bikedushah!¹ ■ this attitude out of hand. 'You must be mechavein l'shem shamayim and

cist how he had merited this unusual 1'shem mitzvah. Although it is true that you are forced to take money to The pharmacist recounted the fol- support your family, you must always remember the greatness of what you "One time, the Chofetz Chaim are doing and focus on this."

The pharmacist concluded, "Ever some medicine. Naturally, he found since then I have made this my for what he needed. After he paid for that I live for devarim she'b'kedushah. jealous of you; every instant of the day involved in different projects to raise the spiritual level of the community "I was a bit embarrassed by this until I am as you see me here today."

> With just a little focus and spirituperson who is rosh v'rishon lichol

> > 1. דרד שיחה ח"א ע' כ"ו

