OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Acquisition of movable objects (cont.)

Reish Lakish defends his position from the Gemara's challenge.

Rava presents a verse and a Baraisa that support Reish Lakish's assertion that משיכה is a Biblical method of acqui sition of movable objects.

R' Pappa unsuccessfully challenges Rava's proof from the pasuk.

The ruling in the Baraisa cited by Rava is challenged from another Baraisa that rules differently.

The Gemara answers that the second Baraisa deals with a non-Jew for whom משיכה is not a valid method of acquisition.

Another Baraisa is cited that supports this distinction.

R' Nachman subscribes to R' Yochanan's position that Biblically money acquires movable objects.

Levi found a Baraisa that supports R' Yochanan's position.

Reish Lakish's response to this Baraisa is recorded.

2) Issuing a מי שפרע

Abaye and Rava disagree whether Beis Din actually curses the person who does not honor his commitment.

Each Amora offers a source for his respective position. Rava unsuccessfully offers a proof to his position.

3) A down payment

Tangentially the Gemara brings up the disagreement between Rav and R' Yochanan whether a down payment acquires an amount equal to its value or whether it ac-

(Continued on page 2)

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. Is there any legal consequence for one who backs out of a verbal agreement?
- 2. How is the Baraisa discussing the bathhouse attendant a proof to Reish Lakish?
- 3. What is the point of dispute between Abaye and Rava concerning the issuance of a מי שפרע?
- 4. What is the issue debated by Rav and R' Yochanan related to a down payment?

Distinctive INSIGHT

How does beis din implement the law of *מי שפרע ?* איתמר: אביי אמר אודועי מודעינן ליה, רבא אמר מילט לייטינן ליה. אביי אמר וכו' דכתיב ונשיא בעמך לא תאור

he Mishnah at the beginning of the perek noted that even after someone gives money to pay for an item, the sale is technically not consummated. It is the transfer of the item which is the legal point of no return. Therefore, if the buyer or seller wishes to renege on their stated intention to participate in the deal, they may do so even after the money is transferred. Nevertheless, the Mishnah warns that anyone who does not stand behind his word is subject to the curse known as "מי שפרע" - "The One Who paid retribution against the people of the generation of the flood and of the Tower of Bavel (God) will also punish one who does not keep his word."

Our Gemara brings a discussion between Abaye and Rava regarding how the beis din actually deals with a person who does not keep his word and thereby becomes eligible for this curse. Abaye says that the court simply informs him of the consequences of his actions, but the court does not issue the curse. Abaye notes that the verse prohibits a Jew to curse another Jew, as it states (Shemos 22:27), "You shall not curse a leader among your people." The Gemara in Sanhedrin (66a) concludes that the Torah prohibits cursing any Jew from the three directives found not to curse a leader, a deaf person or head of a tribe (גשיא). And although the verse only deals with prohibiting a curse using the name of God, it is still prohibited to curse even without pronouncing the Name. Others note that if the court would mention "the One Who exacted punishment from ... " this is tantamount to a bona fide reference to Hashem, and the curse would be in full violation of the verse.

Rava argues and contends that the court should pronounce the מי שפרע curse against the one who is not keeping his word. He understands that the Torah does not prohibit pronouncing a curse when the target of the curse is a sinner. The verse warns against issuing a curse against one who is "among your people," and one who does not keep his word is not included among the faithful. Ritva explains that Abaye certainly agrees that the verse permits issuing a curse against a sinner, but in this case the person is backing out of the deal due to price fluctuations, and he simply wants out in order to obtain a better price for his purchase, or because he no longer needs the item. Although his actions are not proper, he is still not to be deemed a sinner.

Rosh and Rambam conclude that the court does issue this curse, in accordance with the opinion of Rava. ■

<u>HALACHAH</u> Hiahliaht

Choosing between a previous commitment and attending a bris milah

והחוזר בו אין רוח חכמים נוחה הימנו

The Chachamim are not satisfied with one who backs out of his verbal commitment

very sensitive question. Shimon was hosting a number of guests at his house and he asked Reuven to come visit while the guests would be at his home. Reuven's visit would accord Shimon with honor and if Reuven were not to visit it ness and is not in sync with the spirit of the Chachamim. could be perceived as though Reuven was disrespecting Therefore, if a Torah scholar is permitted to skip the meal Shimon. Before the date of the visit arrived, another friend of Reuven invited him to join the seudah for the bris milah of his son. Rema² rules that one who is invited to the meal of a bris milah and does not attend deserves to be placed in א, so Reuven inquired about the correct course of action. Should he follow his first commitment to Shimon, or his word and commitment and these reasons are sufficient should he attend the bris?

Chelkas Yaakov answered that Reuven should not can-bris. cel his visit to Shimon in order to attend the bris. He based his decision on the ruling of Rema³ that one who is present at a bris milah where there are unsuitable people (אנשים שאינם מהוגנים) is not required to eat at the meal.

STOR

"It is forbidden to lie!"

ייאבל אמרו מי שפרע מאנשי דור המבול ... יי

n today's daf we see the potential consequences due to one who goes back on his word. The Chazon Ish, zt"l, was very careful never to promise anything to ensure that he didn't go back on a promise if for some reason he could not fulfill it.

Even when Rav Wolbe, zt"l, asked him if he would be able to make it to the out-of-town bris of his newborn son, the Chazon Ish merely said, "I would very much like to come see the yeshiva..."

Rav Tzvi Oberlander recounted how the Chazon Ish helped him in a

tricky situation that seemed to require nayos for him,' said the Chazon Ish dethat he promise what he really could cisively. not deliver. "My elderly uncle was childless and he wanted a ben Torah to say simple person who would not underkaddish for him. It was natural that he stand the importance of mishnayos. To should try to designate me to say the his understanding, the main thing is kaddish. But I really did not wish to do kaddish. If I tell him that I will merely this. As a veshiva bochur it would be learn mishnayos for him, this will likely distinctly uncomfortable to be saying pain him... kaddish even for my uncle when the time came. In addition, my mother was bed as I asked my question, but at this still alive at that point and I did not he stood up and spoke in a very strong know how she would feel about such an tone of voice. 'It is forbidden to lie! It is obligation. I was willing to learn mish- forbidden to lie!' navos for him, however.

plained that I wanted my cousin, who interest in promising my uncle what I was not a ben Torah, to say the kad- had no intention of fulfilling, this also dish, while I would learn mishnayos for imparted powerful yiras shamayim that his neshamah."

"So tell him you will learn mish-

(Overview. Continued from page 1) quires the entire purchase.

Rav's position that it acquires an amount equal to its value is unsuccessfully challenged.

It is suggested that the dispute between Rav and R' Yochanan could be traced to a dispute between Tannaim.

Accordingly, we could assert that certainly in our case there is no requirement for Reuven to go to the bris milah and \mathbf{I} he author of Teshuvas Chelkas Yaakov¹ was asked a not honor his commitment to visit Shimon. Agreeing to visit Shimon is similar to promising a friend to give him a small gift regarding which Shulchan Aruch⁴ rules that one who does not honor such a commitment lacks trustworthiof a bris milah in order to avoid eating together with unsuitable people certainly one is permitted to not attend a bris milah in order to not qualify as one who is lacking trustworthiness and is not in sync with the Chachamim. Additionally, Chazal taught⁵ that a person must make sure to honor reason for Reuven to visit Shimon rather than attend the

שויית חלקת יעקב אוייח סיי כייד.

- רמייא יוייד סיי רסייה סעי יייב .2 . 3 שם.
- שוייע חויימ סיי רייד סעי זי וחי. .4
 - גמי לקמן מייט. .5

"I explained that my uncle was a

"The Chazon Ish had been lying in

"He made this statement three "I went to the Chazon Ish and ex- times and besides causing me to lose all lasted me for months!"¹

.1 מעשה איש, חייב, עי קעייט-קייפ



Daf Digest is published by the Chicago Center, under the leadership of HaRav Yehoshua Eichenstein, shlit"a HaRav Pinchas Eichenstein, Nasi; HaRav Zalmen L. Eichenstein, Rosh Kollel; Rabbi Tzvi Bider, Executive Director, edited by Rabbi Ben-Zion Rand. Daf Yomi Digest has been made possible through the generosity of Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Ruben.