chicago center for Torah Chesed

TOI

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) MISHNAH: The Mishnah discusses the tum'ah status of various categories of marrow bones. The Mishnah cites the exposition that teaches that carrying the sealed bone of a neveilah transmits tum'ah.

2) Marrow bone of a corpse

The Gemara infers from the Mishnah that marrow bone of a corpse transmits tum'ah through contact but not by ohel and searches for the case in which this applies.

R' Yehudah the son of R' Chiya concludes that marrow inside of a bone cannot regenerate flesh outside of it.

Two unsuccessful challenges to this explanation are recorded.

The novelty of these rulings is identified.

Abaye offers an alternative explanation for why the marrow bone of a corpse does not transmit tum'ah by ohel.

Support for this explanation is cited.

R' Yochanan suggests a third explanation for the Mishnah's ruling.

A detail in R' Yochanan's statement is unsuccessfully challenged.

A Mishnah is cited in support of R' Yochanan's explanation.

The Gemara rejects this interpretation of the Mishnah in favor of another explanation.

The alternative explanation is unsuccessfully challenged.

The Gemara cites another source for R' Yochanan wherein transmission of tum'ah by ohel is described as touching.

This interpretation is challenged.

Abaye and Rava offer alternative responses to this challenge.

Rava cites a Mishnah in support of his explanation.

Abaye rejects this source.

Abaye's interpretation of the Baraisa is unsuccessfully challenged.

3) Hidden tum'ah

The Gemara proceeds to search for the source that hidden tum'ah does not break through its covering.

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By Mr. and Mrs. David Segall In honor of their anniversary and for the yaharzeit of his father ר' ישראל בן ר' שמואל הלוי ע"ה

Distinctive INSIGHT

Who is the one who says that touching and being under the same roof are in the same category?

ומאן תנא דקרי לאהל נוגע! ר' יוסי היא

he Mishnah spoke of where a person experiences tum'ah by means of "גוֹגע," which literally means "touching." In the Gemara, R' Yochanan explains that this case is actually referring to a situation where a person forms a roof over a piece of human bone. The reason the Mishnah uses the term "touching" when referring to tum'ah transmitted by forming a roof is that these two methods are in the same category. The Gemara identifies that the Tanna who supports this view is R' Yose of the Baraisa.

In the Baraisa, R' Yose states that a large spoonful of dust from a decomposed corpse transmits tum'ah through direct contact, through carrying, or by being under the same roof with it. We can understand the illustration of interaction with a full ladleful of this dust when it being carried, and also when one is under the same roof with this material. But the case of touching apparently cannot be where one is in contact with the entire ladleful at once. The person is only touching the particles which directly touch his hand. Rather, we must say that the word "under the ladleful of corpse dust."

Ra'aved (Eiduyos 3:1) points out that if someone is touching a half-k'zayis while he is simultaneously under a roof together with a half-k'zayis, R' Meir holds (Ohalos 3:1) that R' Dosa says that the person is tahor, but Chachamim say that touching and being under a roof with tum'ah are in the same category, so these situations combine and the person is tamei. Accordingly, why does our Gemara not identify the proponent of the view that touching and being under a roof are the same to be R' Meir?

Continued on page 2)

REVIEW	and	Rem	ember
--------	-----	-----	-------

1. What is	the	source	that	a	sealed	marrow	bone	trans
mits tuma								

2. How does R' Yochanan explain the Mishnah?

3. What is תרווד רקב?

4. Explain the principle טומאה טמונה אינה בוקעת.

A sukkah under a skylight with netting חבילי מטה וסריגי חלונות חוצצין בין הבית לעלייה

Ropes of a bed and meshwork of a window constitute a barrier between a house and the upper floor

eshuvas Ginas Veradim¹ addressed a very important sukkah question that was prevalent in his time. Houses were built very close to one another and as a result they did not get sunlight or much air from the windows in the walls. Generally people added a sky light on their roof to allow sunlight and air into their home. On top of the sunlight they would spread a netting of sorts to keep out bugs and birds. On Sukkos people would simply spread schach over this skylight and their house became their sukkah. Ginas Veradim cited our Gemara's discussion of interwoven ropes of a bed and meshwork of a window about which we are taught that they form a barrier to prevent tum'ah from rising from a first floor room that contains a corpse to a second story room above it. Although there are holes in the ropes or meshwork, Rashi² explains that as long as the holes are not the size of a tefach they contain the tum'ah. Accordingly the netting that is placed over the skylight upon which the schach is placed should invalidate the sukkah.

space between the ropes or the meshwork as closed, regarding the laws of sukkah it is not considered closed. The laws of sukkah will see the ropes and meshwork as a tree and

(Insight...continued from page 1)

Ra'aved answers that although R' Meir explains this concept in the context of the disagreement between R' Dosa and Chachamim, perhaps R' Meir himself does not agree with this view. This is why the Gemara identifies this view in the name of R' Yose, who says this in his own name.

Ramban answers that although R' Meir says that Chachamim hold that exposure to tum'ah by touching and by being under one roof combine, it could be that R' Meir is more lenient than that and the Chachamim may hold that any two forms of exposure to tum'ah combine, such as being under the same roof and carrying, which are two completely different categories. Therefore, there is no proof from the Mishnah in Ohalos that R' Meir holds that touching and being under the same roof are two forms of the same type of exposure with tum'ah.

consequently the question is whether they produce more shade than sun or not. Since the ropes or meshwork produce little to no shade whatsoever they have no negative effect on the sukkah and it is valid. The reason for the distinction is that regarding the laws of tum'ah the essential question is whether the window is considered closed or not and once it is considered closed the tum'ah does not travel further In the laws of sukkah the essential question is what is producing the shade, valid schach or invalid schach. As long as the valid schach is producing the shade if invalid He then writes that although the laws of tum'ah see the schach is also present but produces little or no shade the sukkah remains valid.

- שויית גינת ורדים אוייח כלל די סיי חי.
- רשייי דייה חוצצין בין בית לעליה. ■

The Dust of the Remains

n today's daf we find how much dust of decayed remains imparts impurity.

The Maharal, zt"l, explains the mechanics of idolatry. "Our sages teach that a Jew who gives charity on condition that his son recover from illness is a complete tzaddik. Conversely, charity given by a non-lew on condition is meaningless. The gemara explains that even if the child does not recover, the the hopes that his son will heal. If this didn't provide excellent results, it was a waste of money from his perspective."

The Yaaros Devash, zt"l, gives us insight into the idolatry served by the

Jew will not want his money back, but ancient Egyptians. The Egyptians were the non-Jew will want a refund. To un-hyper-focused on death. To them this derstand why, we must delve into the was the ultimate test which they were reason why people worshiped idolatry. required to overcome. They knew that They desired to excel in something, be the nefesh of the deceased remains it war, love, or the like. Idolatry meant near the body for as long as it is extant. only acting in a way that they held They figured logically that if they could strengthened their goal. It is no won- only preserve the body, the deceased der that an average idolater who gave will be immortal and that they will be money on this condition would de- able to speak to him through sorcery. mand a refund if the child did not re- They mummified people, preserving cover. He only gave charity as a fee in the dust of their remains for as long as they could and secreting them in special chambers to keep them undisturbed.1

יערות דבש, חייא, דרוש זי

