OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) **MISHNAH:** The Mishnah begins with a discussion regarding the halacha that a person delivering a υ_{λ} that originated from outside of Eretz Yisroel is obligated to declare that the υ_{λ} was written and signed in his presence. The exact borders of Eretz Yisroel are discussed. The Mishnah concludes with a ruling related to delivering a υ_{λ} that originated in Eretz Yisroel.

2) The declaration that the **v** was written and signed in his presence

Rabbah and Rava disagree about why it is necessary for the agent to declare that the vx written outside of Eretz Yisroel was written and signed in his presence.

Three practical differences between these explanations are presented.

The Gemara wonders why, according to Rabbah, a single witness is believed to declare the validity of a κ rather than requiring two witnesses.

One explanation that is dismissed is that a single witness is believed regarding matters of prohibition (עד אחד נאמן באיטורין).

The Gemara suggests that the reason a single witness is believed is based on the fact that most scribes that write גיטין are knowledgeable that when a גיטין is written is must be intended for a specific woman (לשמה). \blacksquare

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What are the novelties of R' Gamliel's and R' Eliezer's rulings?
- 2. How does one confirm a **v** when there are those who challenge its validity?
- 3. What are the two reasons why the agent delivering a **ν** must make a declaration?
- 4. What are the practical differences between Rabbah's and Rava's explanations?

Gemara GEM

The enactment to report that the א was written לשמה לפי שאין בקיאין לשמה

Rabbah, holds that the reason for the enactment that a witness must declare that the גע was written and signed in his presence is that we are unsure whether the עמה written "לשמה" for the sake of the wife."

Tosafos (ד"ה לפי - השני) inquires about the opinion of Rabbah from a statement of the Gemara later (5a) that if the husband himself brings the גע from מדינת הים, he need not declare that it was written and signed before him. This means that he need not verify that the ע was written אנט. Now, according to Rabbah, there should be no difference between the husband and anyone else who brings the גע from outside Eretz Yisroel; we still need to know that the foreign court was competent in this regard.

Tosafos provides a classic answer in understanding Rabbah. According to the conclusion of the Gemara, we assume that most courts even outside Eretz Yisroel are expert in the laws of a גט needing to be done לשמה, and most scribes are competent. We do not suspect that a גע may be deficient in this regard. We are concerned, though, that the husband might one day come and challenge the גט claiming it was not לשמה, and that it was written by a scribe who was merely practicing, and the witnesses were not aware of the halachos of לשמה. Here, the situation would result in questioning the validity of this גע, and the circumstances would remain disgraceful (לעז). Normally, this risk is neutralized by clarifying up front that the גט was written לשמה, and if the husband later comes we can dismiss him out of hand. However, if the husband himself is the one who is bringing the va, we do not suspect that he himself will later come to challenge the גע. Here, he does not have to declare that the גע was written לשמה as he brings it.

This answer is adequate according to Tosafos and his understanding of Rabbah. However, Rashi (ד"ה רבען) understands that the problem of לשמה is that we believe that foreign courts do not know about לשמה. How does Rashi deal with the question of Tosafos?

Pnei Yehoshua explains that Rashi understands that when a husband brings a גט from far away, we immediately quiz him about it, and we will automatically determine if it was written לשמה. There is no need to make a rule that the husband tell us that it is , as we will figure it out

HALACHAH Highlight

Honoring parents outside of Eretz Yisroel

ואשקלון כדרום

And Ashkelon is like the south [and not considered part of Eretz Yisroel]

osafos Yom Tov¹ writes that the length of days the To- Ashkelon is considered part of the Diaspora, there is no rah promises for those who fulfill the mitzvah of kibbud av v'em is limited to those who fulfill the mitzvah in Eretz Yis- a parent in the diaspora because the Gemara there only not assured of length of days. He bases this position on the he merited length of days. wording of the pasuk that states (Shemos 19:12): למען the language of the Torah, it is clear that even those who fulfill the mitzvah in the Diaspora will merit length of days.

was rewarded for the honor he accorded his father. Since vah that is related to the land. Ashkelon is part of the Diaspora, it is evident that one is rewarded for honoring his parents even in the Diaspora. He then rejects this proof for two reasons. One reason is that our Gemara presents a dispute whether Ashkelon is part of Eretz Yisroel or not. Even if one was to accept that

(Insight. Continued from page 1)

by ourselves. However, when a messenger arrives with a אט, we do not ask him extensive questions, as there is no reason to assume that he is aware of the specifics of the case. This is why the sages required a statement of on the part of a messenger, for otherwise this information might not be ascertained.

proof that one is rewarded with length of days for honoring roel but those who perform the mitzvah in the Diaspora are mentions that he merited wealth but does not indicate the

Torah Temimah⁴ subscribes to the position that one is נותן לד—In order that rewarded with length of days for honoring a parent in the you will have length of days on the land that Hashem your Diaspora but arrives at that conclusion from a different an-G-d gives to you. The emphasis is that the reward will be gle. He asserts that the Torah's promise for length of days experienced on the land that Hashem promised, i.e. Eretz refers to length of days in the World-to-Come. This is based Yisroel. Netziv², however, disagrees and writes that despite on the Gemara's declaration (Kiddushin 39b) that there is no reward for mitzvos in this world. Further proof that the reward promised refers to length of days in the World-to-שפר תרגן לשוני suggests that the Gemara in Kiddushin Come is that if the pasuk is to be understood literally we (31a) is proof that the reward for honoring one's parents would be forced to conclude that only those who fulfill the applied even in the Diaspora. The Gemara there relates the mitzvah in Eretz Yisroel will be rewarded which he mainincident of Dama ben Nesina who lived in Ashkelon and tains is illogical since honoring one's parents is not a mitz-

- מעדני יוייט על הראייש ברכות פייא סיי זי אות טי.
 - העמק דבר לשמות כ:יב.
- ספר תרנן לשוני סיי אי ומובא דבריו בפניני הלכה בספר מתיבתא למסי גיטין.
 - תורה תמימה דברים פרק הי פסוק טייז אות חי.

A אט of Twelve Lines

be worthless.

chah brought in Tosafos on today's so as not to create an agunah." daf. Tosafos writes that the custom is to write a twelve line vs. One reason the Rashbah, zt"l, he disagreed. "We

explained is that the numerical value of don't find that one must write a גע the word גט is 12.

than 12 lines. The obvious question nah on Gittin 19 states that one may was: must he rewrite the גע? When this write a גע on a detached olive leaf. question reached the Rosh zt"l, he Presumably even a large olive leaf here are many minor-seeming de-ruled: "The sofer must definitely re-doesn't have space for twelve lines yet tails of hilchos gittin that can in- write the געבד, if a man we see that a אי written on such a leaf validate a גי even בדיעדב. For example, already presented his wife with a גע of is kosher. This clearly implies that a גע if the husband or wife's name was mis- less than 12 lines, he must rewrite the need not have twelve lines. spelled even by one letter the ν may ν . It is only if a new ν cannot be There is a very interesting hala- upon to permit the woman to remarry custom, but even a ν written with less

with twelve lines in Shas Bavli or A certain scribe wrote a kg of less Yerushalmi. On the contrary, the mish-

"Obviously, one should write a גט procured that such a keeping with the with twelve lines in keeping with the than twelve lines is certainly valid. One When this same question reached may even give such a גט l'chatchilah." ■

