### **OVERVIEW** of the Daf

1) MISHNAH: The Mishnah discusses the status of a nazir who discovers that he is tamei. The Mishnah draws a distinction between known tumah and "tumah of the deep" and when the nazir discovers that he is tamei.

#### 2) Tumah of the deep

R' Elazar suggests a source for the lenient ruling associated with "tumah of the deep."

Reish Lakish offers another source for this ruling.

The Gemara presents challenges that refute both suggestions so the Gemara concludes that the source for the leniency of "tumah of the deep" is Halacha L'Moshe M'Sinai.

### 3) Discovering that he is tamei before he shaves his head

R' Yochanan asserts that the Mishnah follows R' Eliezer who also maintains that the haircut is essential to release the nazir from his restrictions.

Rami bar Chama inquires about the halacha for a nazir who became tamei before he completed his term but did not realize that he was tamei until after his term was completed. Is his status determined by when he contracted the tumah or by when he discovered that he was tamei?

Rava demonstrates that the Mishnah refers to a case where the nazir discovered that he was tamei after the completion of his nezirus term.

It is noted that Rava did not answer whether the nazir in the case will forfeit seven or thirty days.

After rephrasing Rami bar Chama's question the Gemara notes that in reality Rava did answer Rami bar Chama's inquiry and he maintains that the nazir will only have to forfeit seven days.

### 4) Tumah of the deep (cont.)

A lengthy Baraisa is cited that elaborates on the details of the laws related to "tumah of the deep."

#### 5) A floating sheretz

A Baraisa presents a dispute between Tanna Kamma and R' Shimon regarding the capacity of a dead floating sheretz to convey tumah.

### Distinctive INSIGHT

The sources for the law of **טומאת התהום** ריש לקיש אמר אמר קרא כי יהיה טמא לנפש

ur Gemara seems to suggest that there is a dispute between Rabbi Elazar and Reish Lakish regarding the source from where we derive that טומאת התהום is not a factor for a nazir and one who is going to bring his Korban Pesach. Although the verse which Rabbi Elazar cites (Bamidbar 6:9) is written in the context of a nazir, Rabbi Elazar learns that this rule applies equally to the case of one who is going to bring his Korban Pesach. And, similarly, the verse which Reish Lakish cites (ibid., 9:10) is recorded in the context of bringing a Korban Pesach, Reish Lakish understands that this source is teaching the law in the case of nazir, too.

It is noteworthy that the Gemara in Pesachim (81b) indicates that Rabbi Elazar and Reish Lakish do not disagree. There, Rabbi Elazar brings the lesson of התהום of nazir, and Reish Lakish shows this law in reference to bringing the Korban Pesach, and in this way the complete picture of this type of impurity is taught, without nazir being derived or learned from Korban Pesach, or vice-versa. In fact, a third opinion is brought in the discussion in Pesachim, that of Rabbi Yochanan, who learns the law of an unknown grave from a different phrase in the verse (ibid., 9:10), from the words "בדרך" – a distance far from you." Rashi there explains that Rabbi Yochanan understands that the word "לכם" refers not only to the distance, which is far from you, but

(Continued on page 2)

## **REVIEW** and Remember

- 1. What is tumah of the deep?
- 2. What is the source for the leniency of tumah of the deep?
- 3. What are the conditions necessary to assume that someone who passed over a body buried beneath a road is tamei?
- 4. Explain the difference between Tanna Kamma and R' Shimon.

# HALACHAH Highlight

Tumah of the deep

ואם טומאת התהום אינו סותר

But if it is tumah of the deep he does not forfeit any days

here are a number of fundamental issues that require clarity concerning the topic of שומאת תהום Tumah of the deep. One issue raised by Sefer Pischei Nazir<sup>1</sup> relates to the case of a person who discovers that he was tamei after he offered his korbanos. Halacha L'Moshe M'Sinai teaches that the nazir is not required to re-observe his nezirus. One could ask, however, whether this halacha is a בדיעבד leniency, meaning the Torah does not obligate the nazir to re-observe his nezirus once he has brought his korbanos, or perhaps the Halacha L'Moshe M'Sinai represents a leniency sidered tumah in this case. A practical difference between these two approaches will arise when a friend of the nazir his unintentional violation of a Biblical prohibition. becomes aware that the nazir is tamei with tumah of the could possibly resolve the issue but he rejects them all and existence of the tzitz. leaves the matter unresolved.

Another issue raised in the Sefer Pischei Nazir<sup>2</sup> is whether the nazir who qualified for the leniency of tumah of the (Insight. Continued from page 1)

it also indicates that the source of tumah is only a problem if it is "for you," a gravesite which is known to you, as opposed to a grave which was unknown.

Tosafos in Menachos (58b, ד"ה ואיכא) identifies the discrepancy between the manner in which the opinions of Rabbi Elazar and Reish Lakish are presented in the two Gemaros as being "סוגיות החלוקות—versions of the discussion which are at odds." Tosafos points out that this phenomenon, where a discussion appears in a different and contrasting format in two different places, occurs several times throughout shas.

תוספות רבינו טורדוס writes that it is possible to explain our sugya here in Nazir in a manner whereby it coincides with the discussion as it is presented in Pesachim.

deep is considered as if he violated his nezirus, albeit unineven l'chatchila, meaning that tumah of the deep is not con-tentionally, or not. The practical difference between these two approaches is whether the nazir requires atonement for

Thirdly<sup>3</sup>, some authorities maintain that the reason the deep before the nazir has offered his korbanos. Should the korbanos of the nazir could be brought when he was tamei friend inform the nazir that he is tamei or not? If this prin- with tumah of the deep is that the tzitz worn by the kohen ciple is a leniency even l'chatchila, it is possible that there gadol renders the korbanos acceptable. Accordingly, once will be no obligation to inform the nazir until after he of the tzitz was broken the korbanos are no longer accepted fered his korbanos. On the other hand, if it is a בדיעבד and the nazir may be required to re-observe his nezirus. leniency the nazir should be informed before offering the Other authorities maintain that the leniency is not related korbanos. Sefer Pischei Nazir cites different sources that to the tzitz and the korbanos are accepted even without the

- ספר פתחי נזיר פייו הטייז דייה ואם בטומאת.
  - ספר פתחי נזיר שם סייק קנייז. .2
    - שם.

Man or Angel? יי...כל שאינו בכירה אחד בסוף העולםיי

Omeone once asked the Ben Ish Chai, "According to tradition, Eliyahu Hanavi occasionally comes down to this world and appears as a person to learn with the chachamim. Does he have the halachah of a human being when he is here or not? Can he be the tenth man in a minyan, for example?"

The Ben Ish Chai replied,

"Although he sometimes appears to a defiled from when he came into contact select few in human form, he definitely with it even if he only found out later. has the halachah of an angel. We can Rambam rules like the Baraisa brought cha of an angel and not a person. in Nazir 63 that if anyone in the entire

The Ben Ish Chai continued, learn this from the halachah of "Eliyahu Hanavi surely knows about all טומאת התהום, a completely unknown tumah in the world. If he really has the and hidden source of tumah that only halachic status of a person, then there came to light later on. Even if a nazir could never be a טומאת התהום from came in contact with such a source of the time he went up to heaven in a tumah, it doesn't disqualify him if he fiery chariot. Since he sometimes comes only found out about it after he com- to this world and he knows about all pleted his nezirus and shaved. The tumah, it must be that he has the hala-

The Ben Ish Chai concluded, "May world knows about this impurity, it is it be the will of Hashem that his merit not a טומאת התהום and the nazir is guard us, אמן, כן יהי רצון!"

