Torah-Che

# 1) A floating sheretz (cont.)

The Gemara suggests an explanation for the positions in dispute between Tanna Kamma and R' Shimon regarding the capacity of a dead floating sheretz to convey tumah.

Another Baraisa is presented that presents additional halachos related to floating tumah.

### 2) Tumah resting on an object that is floating

Rami bar Chama begins a series of questions that relate to the capacity for a source of tumah that is at rest on an object that is floating to convey tumah.

After the Gemara concludes presenting all the different questions the Gemara leaves the questions unresolved.

## 3) Tumah of the deep

R' Hamnuna rules that a nazir and someone making a Korban Pesach who pass over tumah of the deep on their seventh day of purification are both tehorim.

Rava challenges this ruling and forces R' Hamnuna to retract his ruling as it relates to the nazir.

Rava agrees to R' Hamnuna's ruling as it relates to the one making a Korban Pesach.

Abaye unsuccessfully challenges Rava.

It is noted that Abaye retracted his ruling.

This assertion is demonstrated from Abaye's response to R' Kahana's comment to a Baraisa.

4) MISHNAH: The Mishnah begins to present the halachos related to finding graves and under what conditions does the discovery of graves create a presumption of the presence of a cemetery.

- 1. Explain וכל דבר שמטמא מלמעלה כלמטה.
- 2. What is the essence of Rami bar Chama's inquiry?
- 3. At the end of the discussion, is there a dispute between Rava and R' Hamnuna?
- 4. How close to one another must the bodies be found to render the area a cemetery?

המוצא צת בתחילה מושכב כדרכו נוטלו ואת תפוסתו

n explaining the details of this case, Tosafos notes that it is dealing in a situation where a body was found "בתחילה–just now, for the first time." This means that the body was found in a place where no one knew that a grave was located. The halacha, therefore, is that the body may be exhumed, together with the surrounding soil. The Mishnah is also teaching us that if it was known that a grave was located there, the body may not be relocated. Rabbeinu Obadiah of Bertinoro explains that this detail is evident from the first word of the Mishnah, "המוצא—If it was found..." This excludes a case of where a known grave was exposed. It is noteworthy that Rambam, in his Commentary to the Mishnah, explains that the word "המוצא" indicates that if a grave is discovered and it is to be exhumed, it must be completely removed—מוצא, and the remains and the surrounding soil must be dug out totally. This is as opposed to a case where a body is found on the surface of the ground.

אליה רבה (to Oholos 16:3) writes that the Gemara derives from the Mishnah that not every body which is found may be exhumed and reburied. "המוצא" teaches that a known grave may not be relocated, and the word "מת" teaches that this halacha applies to someone who died, as opposed to a case of a person who was killed. However, the Gemara does not teach a halacha based upon the word "בתחילה". אליה אבה suggests that it means that if this is the first body found in an area, it may be relocated, as opposed to a case where two other bodies were found in this area before this third body. Here, the area is deemed a "neighborhood of bodies—שכונת קברות," and none of them may be moved.

Tosafos explains that although it is prohibited to move a grave from one place to another (see Sanhedrin 47b), if we find a body which was not known to anyone we can assume that it was buried here just temporarily, and the intent was for the body to be relocated in a proper cemetery. Rosh adds that we may assume that the body was placed here without the consent of the owner of the land, and in this case the dead body does not acquire its spot. This opinion is cited as the halacha by Shach, Yoreh De'ah 364:5. ■

# **HALACHAH** Highlight

huming a corpse

surrounds it

ifferent reasons are given why it is necessary to some of the earth. A second approach<sup>2</sup> maintains that should be taken together with the body. it is not an issue of honor for the deceased; rather it is to assure that the entire body was removed so that in the future the land could be used for tahor food without concern for any remnants of the corpse.

Although this halacha is cited in Rambam<sup>3</sup> it is not cited in Shulchan Aruch. Sefer Erech Shai<sup>4</sup> suggests that Shulchan Aruch follows the second explanation

that the purpose of taking some of the earth is out of taharos considerations and since those halachos are not relevant in our times it is unnecessary for Shulchan Taking the earth that surrounds the body when ex- Aruch to mention it. Rav Moshe Feinstein<sup>5</sup> writes that since this halacha is not mentioned in Shulchan Aruch, the Noda B'Yehuda or the Chasam Sofer when One who finds a corpse for the first time [in this area] lying in they discuss exhuming bodies, it is an indication that it the usual fashion may remove it together with the earth that is unnecessary to take anything more than the bones of the deceased. Teshuvas Mahariaz Enzil<sup>6</sup> suggests that the halacha only applies in Eretz Yisroel.

Teshuvas Maharsham<sup>7</sup> and Chazon Ish<sup>8</sup> maintain take some of the earth that surrounds the body of a that this practice should be observed even in our times corpse when it is reburied. One explanation is that and Maharsham explains that the rationale for the halathere is a concern that some of the blood or other body cha is that the deceased acquires the ground that surfluids seeped into the ground and out of consideration rounds its body thus making it prohibited even if the for the honor of the deceased it is necessary to take body is removed. Therefore, when possible the earth

- 1. ריטבייא לבייב ק: דייה נוטלו.
  - 2. רשביים שם קא. דייה
- רמביים פייט מהלי טומאת מת הייא.
  - ספר ערד שייי יוייד סיי שסייד.
  - שויית אגיימ יוייד חייא סיי רנייט.
    - שויית מהריאייז ענזיל סיי לייו.
    - שויית מהרשיים חייג סיי רייב.
    - 8. חזוייא יוייד סיי רייט- רייי.

"... This, Then, Ground..."

יי...הרי זו שכונת קברים וקונה מקומויי

▲ he immense difficulty involved in financing and building a Torah institution is well known. If this is often true even in our generation of Torah, how much more was it true regarding earlier generations. In those times, very few had a real belief in Torah and Yiddishkeit and finding donors for institutions was a very hard endeavor.

After much effort, Vizhnitzer Rebbe, shlit"a, raised went to the Chazon Ish, zt"l, to ask

Medrash in Bnei Brak. He pur ing the problem, the Chazon Ish chased a tract of land, drew up replied, "If I am not mistaken, this Burial plans, found a frum contractor, and is a sugya in Nazir 64b. The gemara started to build. As they dug deeper states that if one finds three bodies and deeper, they discovered a this is a burial site. If one found one corpse. Understandably, brought to light two simple ques- corpse along with the underlying tions. First of all, could they remove soil. The Mishna continues to say the body and build as planned at that if he found three bodies, he the site or did they have to leave it must search twenty amos for more. there and either scratch the plans or bodies or not?

the Reb Avraham Wertheimer, shlit"a, in all of its grandeur!

enough money to build his Beis his halachic opinion. After describthis or two however, he may remove the

The Chazon Ish concluded, sell the land at a loss? Secondly, "Clearly, you may remove the body even if one corpse doesn't disquali- and you have no obligation to fy, did they have to search for more search for more." The Gadol blessed them with success, and the The Rebbe and the contractor, Vizhnitzer Beis Medrash was built

