The Chicago

Center

THE DAILY RESOURCE FOR THOUSANDS OF DAF YOMI LEARNERS WORLDWIDE

This month's Daf Digest is dedicated In loving memory of שרגא פייוול דוד בן קמואל The Abramowitz family

RUBEN SHAS KOLLEL PUBLICATION

ÖVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Bathing (cont.)

The Gemara explains that R' Yosi maintains that not laundering involves affliction but not bathing does not involve affliction.

A related teaching is cited.

2) Torah scholars

The previous teaching mentioned that Torah scholars will emerge from the sons of the poor.

The Gemara asks why Torah scholars do not have children who are Torah scholars.

Five answers to this question are presented.

3) Bathing (cont.)

An incident related to the importance of laundering one's clothing is presented.

4) Clarifying R' Yosi's position

The Gemara inquires whether, according to R' Yosi, a husband can revoke vows that relate to their relationship.

An attempt to resolve this inquiry from our Mishnah is presented.

(Continued on page 2)

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. Why don't Torah scholars have sons who are Torah scholars?
- 2. What caused Isi ben Yehudah to stop coming to the Bei Midrash?
- 3. What is the difference between vows that affliction and vows that do not involve affliction?
- 4. Is one allowed to permit an activity for people who are strict?

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By Mr. and Mrs. David Binter In loving memory of their father ר׳ משה בן ר׳ זלמן טובי׳, ע״ה

Distinctive INSIGHT

When should we not correct the strict behavior of others? דברים המותרים ואחרים נהגו בהן איסור אי אתה רשאי לנהוג בהם היתר כדי לבטלן משום שנאמר לא יחל דברו

he Gemara cited a Baraisa in which support for the opinion of Rav Adda bar Ahava, that according to Rabbi Yose the wife's applying makeup is considered a matter between the husband and the wife, and that the husband can therefore nullify an oath made in this regard. We find in the Baraisa, among other details, an opinion of Rabban Gamliel, who says that the verse in Bamidbar (30:3) of "He shall not desecrate his word" applies to cases other than formal oaths. The case was where a woman pronounced a neder which was clearly invalid, such as where she states that she will not perform any of the vital tasks which a woman must perform for her husband (Kesuvos 59b). The Halacha is that this neder has no validity, because she is obligated to fulfill her role as a wife. Yet, Rabban Gamliel says that the husband should revoke the neder, based upon this verse. Therefore, as "r explains, just as Rabban Gamliel understands that this verse is applied to "words" alone, even those which do not constitute a valid neder, so too would Rabban Gamliel apply this verse to cases where a person did not even utter words, but he simply conducted himself in accordance with certain stringencies. רש"ש notes that Rabban Gamliel is apparently interpreting the word דבר in the verse לא יחל to mean "a manner or path of conduct," as it is found in Tehillim 47:4 ("He shall lead nations under us"). Rashi in Tehillim translates דבר to mean "to guide or lead". Thus, the verse in Bamidbar instructs us not to change or discourage the way others conduct themselves, even if they do not accept this conduct upon themselves with a formal neder.

The Rishonim explain that the opinion of Rabban Gamliel to require nullification for an adopted restricted practice is rabbinic (see Rosh, here המותרים המותרים, and earlier, Gemara 15a). Tosafos (Pesachim 51a, ד"ה אי אתה) presents a question based upon a Gemara in Chullin 7b. We find that Rebbe ruled for the people of Beis She'an to allow them to eat their produce without designating tithes from them. This was based upon testimony presented to Rebbe informing him that Rabbi Meir had allowed this. Tosafos asks that even if the testimony about Rabbe Meir was accurate, Rebbe should not release these people from their accepted custom. Tosafos answers that this rule applies only when the people realize that the Halacha permits this action, and they choose to be strict. In this case, we should not discourage their conduct. However, if the person is mistaken and thinks that the halacha forbids this action, and we know that it is permitted, then we should certainly inform him of his error.

HALACHAH Hiahliaht

Does adopting a behavior constitute a vow?

משום שנאמר לא יחל דברו

Because it says, "He shall not desecrate his words."

Il opinions agree that if a person follows a certain halachic practice with the intention to continue that practice he has adopted a vow and he is not permitted to cease that practice¹. Poskim disagree, however, about a person who followed a certain practice three times without giving thought to whether he would continue this practice or not. According to some opinions,² once one follows a certain behavior three times he is bound by the force of a vow to continue that practice. According to other authorities,³ if he did not intend to adopt the practice as a custom he is not obligated to continue the practice. Shulchan Aruch⁴ writes that one who is accustomed to fast before Rosh Hashanah requires three people to release him from his vow if he wants to eat. If at the time he initiated this practice he intended to adopt it permanently (שרגיל) to practice something but they do not mention and kept the practice even once he must be formally released from this custom. Some authorities⁵ understand that Shulchan Aruch is describing two different ways of adopting a could already be considered רגיל. Many authorities, however, binding custom. If one intends at the outset to adopt this assume that it takes three times for a person to become accuspractice he is bound to continue as long as he practiced it tomed to a particular behavior. Netziv⁸, amongst others, cites once. If he did not have intention when he first began the the comments of Ran from our Gemara as proof that practicpractice it is binding only if he follows the practice three ing something three times makes it into a custom. times. Other authorities⁶ maintain that there is no distinction to draw between following a practice once or three times, rather, everything depends on whether the person had in mind to adopt the practice permanently.

A related point that requires clarification is the source for

STORIES

The Yichus of Poverty הזהרו בבני עניים שמהן תצא תורה

n today's daf we find that we should be careful to teach the children of the poor since Torah greatness will more likely come from them.

A certain wealthy man with an eligible daughter had two promising possible shidduchim. Both were great scholars with sterling middos. The only essential difference was their yichus. One came from a very famous line of scholars and

gedolim while the other was from simple house filled with Torah; it's no wonder stock. The wealthy man was at a loss that he grew to such an extent in Torah. tion the only thing that emerged was that greatness from birth. He never achieved his dilemma before the famous Ray parents and be confronted with the need Yitzchak Elchonon Specter, zt"l.

the wealthy man concluded, "I am lean- young man who comes from a poor and ing towards the young man with the simple 'balebatishe' family and has nevervichus but they insist on an exorbitant theless achieved so much demonstrates dowry..."

what I have to say, take the one without cend until he becomes a gadol b'Yisrael!" the yichus. The yachsan is the child of a

This attempt is rejected.

R' Ada bar Ahavah asserts that the husband can revoke vows that relate to their relationship whereas R' Huna says that he cannot.

A Baraisa is cited that supports R' Ada bar Ahavah's position.

According to the Gemara the case in the Baraisa is of a vow related to cohabitation.

A Baraisa is cited that the Gemara explains follows the opinion of R' Gamliel cited in an earlier Baraisa.

5) Clarifying the position of Rabanan

Rava asked R' Nachman whether a vow related to cohabitation is considered a vow of affliction according to Rabanan.

those opinions that maintain that once a person practices something three times it is considered like a vow. Rishonim and even Shulchan Aruch only discuss one who is accustomed anything related to the number three. Some authorities⁷ even cite sources that indicate that practicing something two times

ספר כל נדרי פרק ע''ב סע' ב'

- ע"ש הע' ו
- ע"ש הע' ז
- שוייע יוייד סיי ריייד סע ע' ספר כל נדרי הנ"ל הע ו

whom to choose. After much delibera- His parents have been molding him for he needed help! Since the man lived in a his scholarship on his own steam. After town close to Kovno he decided to put marriage he will be separated from his to earn a living. Who knows if he will After describing the entire situation continue to ascend spiritually? The that he has strong character. Such a The Gadol said, "If you want to hear young man will surely continue to as-



HaRav Ýehoshua Eichenstein, shlit"a HaRav Pinchas Eichenstein, Nasi; HaRav Zalmen L. Eichenstein, Rosh Kollel; Rabbi Tzvi Bider, Executive Director,

edited by Rabbi Ben-Zion Rand. Daf Yomi Digest has been made possible through the generosity of Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Ruben.