CHICAGO CENTER FOR Torah Chesed

בסד

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) MISHNAH: The Mishnah begins with a dispute when a woman is considered to have already been temei'ah when she discovers a discharge of blood. The Mishnah includes additional rules for determining when a woman became temei'ah. The Mishnah concludes by contrasting the halacha related to when she conveys tum'ah to the halacha of when she counts her niddah week.

2) Clarifying the opinions

Shammai's opinion is explained.

The rationale behind Hillel's opinion is clarified.

A Mishnah is cited in which Shammai and Hillel seem to contradict their opinions in our Mishnah.

A resolution to the contradiction is suggested.

This resolution is unsuccessfully challenged.

Shammai's position is challenged from another source.

A resolution to that challenge is suggested.

This resolution is unsuccessfully challenged.

The Gemara notes that the two sources cited to challenge our Mishnah are contradictory to one another.

R' Chanina of Sura resolves this challenge.

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What is the point of dispute between Shammai and Hillel?
- 2. Explain the phrase דיה שעתה.
- 3. What is the status of taharos that were prepared in a mikveh that turns out to be deficient?
- 4. What is the point of dispute between Tanna Kamma and R' Shimon?

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated in memory of Davood Sasoon Ben Itzchak and in memory of Rabbi Itzchak Kirzner on their Yahrzeit, which is 26th of Tishrei

<u> Distinctive INSIGHI</u>

Two mitigating factors to rule strictly התם איכא תרתי לריעותא

A woman who sees blood is temei'ah. If a woman who was tehorah finds blood, Shamai rules that any terumah or holy items she handled may still be considered tahor, up until the moment she found the blood. Hillel says that by finding blood, we realize that everything she touched may be tamei. doubt is cast upon all things she has handled until back to the most recent time she had checked and ascertained that she was tehorah.

The Gemara references other situations of doubt regarding tum'ah and taharah, and it clarifies why the halacha is different in varying scenarios. One of the cases which is compared to the case of a woman finding blood is the case of a mikveh (Mikvaos 2:2) which was known to contain the full complement of water to be kosher, and many items were immersed in it. Subsequently, the water was measured and it was found that the mikveh is lacking. In this case, all items which were supposedly purified in the mikveh are deemed impure, back until the most recent time the mikveh was measured and found to be complete. This is unlike Shamai's ruling, who said that the status of the woman is changed from the moment the problem was discovered, and that we do not disqualify the status retroactively at all. This ruling for mikveh is problematic for Hillel, as well. He ruled that the woman is temeiah only due to a doubt, and the ruling regarding mikveh is that everything previously immersed in this mikveh which is found to be lacking is definitely impure.

The Gemara explains that in the case of mikveh we are faced with two factors which are distinguishing. First of all, any item which was immersed was first brought when it was tamei. That status, we now know, has not necessarily changed. Secondly, we have a deficient mikveh in front of us now. These two compounded factors lead us to rule strictly. However, regarding the woman, there is only one reason to declare any item she handled to be tamei, and that is that she now found blood. The items she touched were previously known to be previously tehorim, so we have no basis to change this retroactively at all, according to Shamai, or to rule strictly retroactively definitively, according to Hillel.

HALACHAH Highlight

Mourning for a relative whose day of death in unknown העמד אשה על חזקתה

Establish a woman on her chazakah

• hulchan Aruch¹ rules that one who hears of the death of a relative within thirty days of the death must observe a full seven days of mourning. If one does not receive the news until after thirty days he is only required to observe an hour's worth of mourning. Maharam Mintz² was asked how a person should conduct himself if he doesn't know whether the relative's death occurred within the past thirty days or more than thirty days ago. Seemingly, since should observe seven days of mourning and referenced a reason is that the woman had a presumption of being te-died. horah and despite the fact that she discovered blood the presumption is in force until it must be changed.

Taz³ cites other authorities who also maintain that one

(Insight...continued from page 1)

Some Achronim (Responsa R' Akiva Eiger. #7) explain that "two distinguishing factors" to declare an item tamei is that the status of the mikveh which was full would usually balance against the previous tum'ah of the immersed item. Where the mikveh is now deficient, we view the previous time period according to this condition, and its power to render the item tahor is weakened.

Chazon Ish (E.H 80:26) explains that the mikveh's previous status is not a factor where it is now deficient. We simply cannot factor in the mikveh, and the item which was tamei remains tamei.

mourning for seven days is only a Rabbinic requirement Mishnah in Gittin (28b) that indicates that all people, one may adopt a lenient position and mourn for just an even those who are ill and elderly, have a presumption of hour. Maharam Mintz rules that one must be stringent being alive until the last possible moment. Taz disagrees and observe seven days of mourning. His reasoning is that and rules that one is not required to observe more than an chazakah - a halachic presumption - indicates that the hour's worth of mourning. He cites numerous sources person who died was alive until the last possible moment. that indicate that once a person is found dead, retroactive-Even though at this point he is dead and that weakens the ly, he does not have a presumption of having been alive. presumption, nevertheless, Shammai in our Mishnah When there is no indication that a person is dead the preteaches that even if a woman discovered blood it is as-sumption is that he is still alive but once it is confirmed sumed that it appeared at the last possible moment. The that someone is dead we do not maintain that he just

- שוייע יוייד סיי תייב סעי אי.
- שויית מהריים מינץ סיי צייה.
 - טייז שם סקייב.

 $^{
m l}$ בית הלוי, בראשית $^{
m l}$

A Change of State אבל איתתא כיון דמגופא קחזיא לא אמרינן אוקמיה אחזקתה

he Ramchal, zt"l, teaches the vast difference between before Adam and Chava ate from the Eitz HaDa'as, and afterwards. "Before Adam and Chava fell into sin, the yetzer hara was outside of them. Of course they had the free choice to sin, but sinning for them was a matter of the higher will of their intellect without any inner inclination to sin."

The Beis HaLevi, zt"l, uses this con-

cept to explain a difficult concept pure, unless she knows that she was found out that its status changed." pure within this period.

The Beis HaLevi explains, "To unbrought on today's daf: We find that derstand the distinction between a peraccording to Hillel, although we usual son and an inanimate object one needs ly rely on a chazakah and assume that to understand the source of human one is pure until we know differently, a sin. Before Adam and Chava sinned, human is different. For example, a evil was completely external. The sin woman is not assumed to have become internalized evil inside them. Therea niddah only from when she noticed fore, regarding one who was assumed she is in this state. She is assumed to to be pure and then touched terumah have been impure since the last time or the like, a person cannot assume she was known to be pure. Although that he or she was pure until he or she the sages disagree—and the halachah noticed the impurity. He or she must follows their opinion—they also con- assume that the impurity was there cede that any terumah or the like from before. It is only regarding an intouched within twenty-four hours be- animate object that we can assume that fore she discovered the problem is im- it remained in the same state until we

