CHICAGO CENTER FOR Chesed

T'O2

## **OVERVIEW** of the Daf

1) MISHNAH (cont.): The Mishnah discusses the halachos of someone who immersed a garment before applying the detergents. Some of the detergents are defined. The Mishnah then describes how the detergents should be applied.

## 2) Clarifying the Mishnah

The type of "nesser" to be used is identified.

R' Yehudah defines the term "boris."

This definition is successfully challenged and another definition is suggested.

This definition is unsuccessfully challenged.

"Kiminia" and "ashlag" are defined.

#### 3) Stains

A Baraisa discusses the use of "tzifon" to remove stains.

The Baraisa's statement is challenged and consequently revised.

A Baraisa discusses a stain that comes off after a second application of the seven cleansing agents.

R' Zeira qualifies the Baraisa's ruling.

This qualification is unsuccessfully challenged.

### 4) Zav-absorbed liquids

A Mishnah discusses earthenware vessels used for zavabsorbed liquids that are placed in an oven.

Reish Lakish asserts that liquids that have "light tum'ah" render the oven tamei if the oven is heated but liquids with severe tum'ah render the oven tamei even if the oven is not heated.

R' Yochanan contends that in both cases the oven (Continued on page 2)

# **REVIEW** and Remember

- 1. What is "raw saliva"?
- 2. What is the status of a stain that comes off the second time one uses the seven cleansing agents?
- 3. What is the point of dispute between Reish Lakish and R' Yochanan?
- 4. What is דם תבוסה?

## Distinctive INSIGHT

Conducting the experiment incorrectly

לא עשה ולא כלום

he Mishnah taught that it is possible to experiment and determine whether a particular spot on a fabric is blood or if it is red dye. We may take seven substances and place them on the spot, and if it is blood, the spot will disappear. If the spot remains, we may conclude that it is red dye. The Mishnah concludes by saying that accurate results only occur when the seven substances are placed upon the spot in the order given, not together and not in a different order. Also, the garment must be rubbed three times after each substance is applied. We are told that if the order of applying these substances to the spot is not followed, the results of the test are void.

The Rishonim disagree regarding the intent of the Mishnah in disqualifying the outcome of a test where the procedure was not followed. Rashi says that if the spot remains, it will be impossible to conclude whether it is blood or dye. In addition, the rule of R' Chiyya (62b) is that when these chemicals are used on the spot correctly, they cause the spot to no longer be a source of tum'ah. When the seven substances are not placed correctly, the rule of R' Chiyya does not apply, and the spot remains tamei.

Rambam (Commentary to Mishnah, 9:7) explains that if the seven substances are placed on the red spot without the proper sequence, if the spot remains it would be impossible to say that it is a dye, because it could still be a stain of blood. The uncertainty which existed before would still prevail. Mahari Shapira infers from Rambam that improper application of these chemicals is only inconclusive regarding identifying the origin of the spot, but applying the chemicals out of order is effective in regard to neutralizing the tum'ah of the spot.

Rama of Pano notes that our Mishnah uses a more elaborate term "he has done nothing, not anything—לא ", in describing an ineffective procedure of applying the substances to remove a blood spot. In contrast, the Mishnah in Nega'im (14:4) teaches that there are three cases where shaving all the hair of one's body is necessary. These are a nazir, a metzora, and the initiation of the Levi'im. If a razor was not used, or if two hairs are left uncut, "they have accomplished nothing." There, the Mishnah does not say, "not anything" as it does here.

# <u>HALACHAH H</u>ighlight

The meaning of the phrase לא עשה כלום

לא עשה ולא כלום

He didn't do anything

▲ he Mishnah discusses the use of seven detergents to determine whether a stain is blood or not. The Mishnah teaches that if one used them out of order or used them all simultaneously לא עשה כלום, he has not done anything. Rama MiPano<sup>1</sup> was asked whether there is a difference between the phrase לא עשה כלום and מלא or perhaps every Tanna uses the phrase that he is accustomed phrases. He answered that when a Tanna wishes to express that the person did not accomplish anything but there was never a concern that he could have done something to harm his interest the Tanna will say לא עשה כלום. When there is a concern that a person could have harmed his interest with his action and the Tanna wishes to express that the person did not accomplish his goal but on the flip side he did not set himself back at all the Tanna will say לא עשה ולא כלום.

An example of this meaning of the phrase לא עשה ולא appears in the Gemara in Berachos (11a). Beis Shamai (Insight...continued from page 1)

Rama of Pano explains that had our Mishnah stated only that "he has done nothing," we might have thought that the procedure was flawed, and that the spot has been tainted and can no longer be tested. The Mishnah therefore clarifies additionally that "he has not done anything," and the process must be repeated.

and Beis Hillel disagree whether one should lie down for the recitation of shema at night. One Amora maintains that one may follow Beis Shamai's opinion, another Amora asserts that one who follows Beis Shamai's opinion is liable to death and a third opinion contends that one who follows Beis Shamai's opinion לא עשה ולא כלום. The meaning of to use but there is no difference in meaning between the the phrase in that context is לא עשה – he did not fulfill the mitzvah ולא כלום –but he is not liable to death as other authorities maintain. In our Mishnah as well the Tanna uses the phrase לא עשה ולא כלום to say that when one does not follow the correct procedure to launder a stain he has not properly tested the stain but he has not lost the ability to test the stain since he can start the procedure again. Sdei Chemed<sup>2</sup> disputes these definitions and cites numerous places where these phrases are utilized that do not follow this pattern.

- שויית רמייע מפאנו סיי צייט.
- שדי חמד מערכת הלמייד כלל קייכ.

In Honor of Shabbos

עבר או שדיהה הרי זה כתם

oday's daf discusses laundering a stain.

Determining the halachah is no easy matter; the laws are detailed and it is easy to err. Some people believe that if they know the halachah in one situation, the same halacha will apply in a different case. Although this is sometimes correct, too often the slightest detail can change the halachah completely.

Many may be surprised to learn that the Rema, zt"l, rules that it is forbidden to wear fine Shabbos garments on Shabbas Chazon. Interestingly, in

to follow the Vilna Gaon, zt"l, Ray a stain on a garment during the nine Yaakov Emden, zt"l, and the Ba'al days—even if this requires scrubbing Shem Tov, zt"l. They rule that one with water—since this is not considered must wear his regular Shabbos finery on Shabbas Chazon since failing to do so would disgrace the holy Shabbos.<sup>1</sup>

One man put on his only clean Shabbos suit on erev Shabbos Chazon, and then noticed a stain. Although the stain was removable and he held like the poskim that it is permitted to remove a stain during the nine days, he wondered if this held true in his case. He vividly recalled getting the stain on the Shabbos before. Perhaps because he could have removed the stain before the nine days, it was forbidden to do so during the nine days?

When this question reached Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach, zt"l, he

this matter the custom of most Jews is ruled clearly, "It is permitted to remove laundering. It follows that one may even remove a stain which was on the garment from before the nine days. One may also remove a stain in this manner during chol hamoed, even though laundering is forbidden then."<sup>2</sup>

- רמייא באוייח, סי תקנייא, סעי אי, ומייב שם. סייק וי
- הליכות שלמה, בין המצרים, עי תכייא,

(Overview...continued from page 1)

must be heated to become tamei.

R' Yochanan unsuccessfully challenges Reish Lakish two times.

Reish Lakish unsuccessfully challenges R' Yochanan.■

