פסחים ל"ב



OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) How to calculate the payment for one who inadvertently ate terumah (cont.)

The Gemara asks whether the payment for inadvertently eating terumah is calculated by volume or by value. The question is further clarified to refer to a case where the terumah was originally worth a zuz and is now worth four zuzim.

After two failed attempts to resolve this inquiry the Gemara demonstrates that the question is a dispute between Tannaim.

A Baraisa records a dispute between Tanna Kamma and Abba Shaul whether the requirement to pay an additional fifth applies when one eats a k'zayis of terumah or whether it is when he eats the quantity equal to a perutah.

The Gemara explains the source each Tanna used to formulate his opinion and how each explains the other's source.

A Baraisa is cited which requires repayment of the principal but without the additional fifth if he ate the volume of a k'zayis.

The Gemara clarifies that the Baraisa requires payment of an additional fifth only when the volume consumed was the size of a k'zayis regardless of its value.

The Rabbis assumed that the Baraisa does not reflect the opinion of Abba Shaul.

R' Pappa asserted that the Baraisa may in fact be consistent with Abba Shaul but the Gemara demonstrated

(Continued on page 2)

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What are the two possible ways to calculate payment for inadvertently eating terumah?
- 2. Is one obligated to pay for eating terumah that is chometz on Pesach?
- 3. What is the minimum amount of terumah that must be consumed to require adding an additional fifth?
- 4. Which punishment is more severe; kareis or death in the hands of Heaven?

Distinctive INSIGHT

Value payment for terumah—according to what rate? איבעיא להו כשהוא משלם לפי מדה משלם או לפי דמים משלם

he Torah requires that restitution be made for terumah which is stolen. There is also a penalty to pay an additional one-fifth (חומש). This payment must be made with a commodity which itself can be designated with the terumah status, and, in fact, when payment is made with fruit, those specimens are sanctified with all laws applicable to the original terumah which was taken.

The question in our Gemara is when the payment is made, are the principal and penalty paid in terms of value of what was stolen, or do we use the volume of fruit as the standard of calculating the base plus 20% fine?

Mishne L'Melech (to Hilchos Terumah 10:18) notes that if we are to assume that payment is determined based upon value, we must now analyze what value is to be used. Regular fruits (חולין) are more costly than terumah. Let us say that a pound of pound of terumah would cost only \$2. The person stole terumah, but must make restitution with chullin. Does he pay using a rate of \$3/pound (using the value of what he pays) or \$2/pound (using the value of what he stole)?

Minchas Chinuch (Mitzvah 281, #17) rules that we must use the rate of terumah, because, if we use the rate of chullin, the kohen would receive less fruit than he lost. For example, if a pound of terumah was stolen, and it was worth \$2, if the culprit responsible for the theft would pay \$2 of chullin, the kohen would only receive a volume of 2/3 of a pound. When it is given to the kohen and it is designated with the status of terumah, the kohen who had a full pound taken from him, would end up with only 2/3 of that amount in return (plus a pound), which is another 1/6 of a pound—still, altogether less that a pound).

Rabbi Akiva Eiger concludes that we, in fact, use the terumah rate. He notes that our Gemara identifies that difference between the "value" or "volume" method to be in a case where the values dipped and rose (והוולו הוקרו). If we would use the chullin rate in calculating the "value" method, the difference would be in a regular case, without devaluation, and the value method would result in less return than the "volume" method.

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated by Andrew Bransky In memory of his mother, Mrs. Carole Bransky O.B.M. מרת סיבה ריבהבת ר' יהודה לייבן הלוי ע"יה

HALACHAH Highlight

The status of Kohanim in our days בתרומה טמאה בשאר ימות השנה אע"פ שאין לו בה היתר אכילה יש לו בה היתר הסקה

During the rest of the year, contaminated terumah can not be eaten; however, it can be used for kindling purposes.

Dased on this, the Rema¹ writes that in our days, since we are in a status of 'tmei'ei meis'2 (ritual contamination from corpse) and therefore our terumah³ is in a state of impurity and must be burned⁴, one can give his terumah to any kohen he desires, (even a kohen who can not track his lineage back to Aharon) as long as he has a presumption as a kohen. The aforementioned kohen can benefit from the terumah while it is being burned (to light Shabbos candles and the like). However, a non-kohen (a zar) may not benefit from it while it is being burnt unless a kohen is simultaneously benefiting from it.

On the other hand, there are halachic authorities⁵ who hold that the kohanim nowadays are only 'doubtful kohanim'. Accordingly⁶, one may only give his terumah to kohanim who are certainly kohanim.

The Chazon Ish writes⁷ that the prevalent custom in Eretz Yisrael is for each person to burn his own terumah and challah (the portion separated from the dough) and not to give it to a kohen. Nonetheless, he writes⁸ that kohanim are biblically considered 'kohanim' (and not just (Overview...Continued from page 1)

that this could not be and ultimately R' Pappa also retracted his statement.

'doubtful kohanim') and therefore have a right to say a blessing when performing Bircas Kohanim, as well as having a father perform פדיון הבן with a berachah under such a kohen's charge.

See below for more details⁹.

- ביור"ד של"א י"ט
- בש"ך שם ס"ק ל', וכ"ה במ"ב תנ"ו ס"ק כ'
 - כשהוכשר לקבל טומאה
- בשו"ע שם. וכתב בדרך אמונה בהלכות תרומות פ"ב קל"ט, שאין לכהנים לסוך בו ולא להאכילה לבהמתם דמצותו בשריפה דוקא (וכן עי' שבת כ"ד ב', ובמשנה האחרונה בתמורה) ושמ"מ המנהג בזמנינו להקל בקהורה, עע"ש
- ביעב"ץ ח"א סימן קנ"ה. ובמהרש"ל ביש"ש בב"ק פ"ה סימן ל"ה וע"ע במהרי"ל שהובא במ"א סוף סימן תנ"ז ביור"ד ש"ה ס"ק י"ב ובגליון מהרש"א שם ס"ח) ועי' במש"כ העה"ש שם סנ"ה שסומכים על חזקת כהנים ע"ש. ושוב מצאתי שבקיצור הלכות תו"מ פ"י ס"ב הסתפק לדינא, עפ"י החזו"א שביעית סימן ה' ס"ק י"ב. האם בזמה"ז יש ליתן לכהן את התרומה
- ויש חשש שעי"ז יחשבו שהם ודאי כהנים. עי' קידושין ס"ט ב' וכתובות דף כ"ה וחזו"א שביעת סימן ה' י"ב, ותשובות והנהגות ח"ג סימן ש"מ בד"ה והנה. ובד"ה ומה. ועע"ש לענין
 - חזו"א שביעת סימן ה' י"ב שכתב שם שמדומה לו כן
- ליקראת סוף הקטע בחזו"א שם ובשו"ת שבט הלוי ח"ב סוף סימן קע"ג כ"כ בשם החת"ס ועע"ש
- בשה"ל שם וז"ל אין להכחיש דמנהג העולם בזה דלא כחומרת היעבץ. ואם כי לענין כהנים לפדות אצלם יש שמחמירים להדר

אחרי כהנים מה"ט. עכ"ל

STORIES off the

Matzah with the purest ingredients מא בפסח אסור בהנאה zos.

strictness of the prohibition of cho- ized that a terrible mistake had been betzin, realizing that her husband had metz is that it symbolizes Avodah Zara. made and the maid had given away the noticed the switch, repeated the whole An interesting take on this is apparent tzaddik's special matzos. Not wishing story. The Rav then told the couple from the following story.

cial place. One year, during the hectic came to the Rav with the wife comthings was definitely not in order.

the supply of community baked mat-strict.

pre-Pesach preparations, a pauper plaining that she could not tolerate

knocked on the Ray's door requesting living with a husband so lax in keeping matzo for Yom Tov. The Rebbitzen the custom of not eating 'Gebrokts' asked a maid to please give him from about which her father had been very

The Ray asked the Rebbetzin to When the time came to set the ta- come into the room and relate what ne of the reasons given for the ble for the Seder, the Rebbitzen real- had happened by their seder. The Rebto cause her husband anguish, she set that chometz is prohibited because it The Apter Rav zt"l was very metic- the table with regular matzos. No com- symbolizes Avoda Zara. The Zohar tells ulous about the matzos he used for ment was made by the Rav and every- us that when one gets angry, it is as if Pesach. He personally supervised their one thought the switch went unno he actually serves Avoda Zara. Expressbaking and they were stored in a spe-ticed. A few days after Pesach a couple ing anger and bickering over these

