HE DAILY RESOURCE FOR THOUSANDS OF DAF YOMI LEARNERS WORLDWID



OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Baking a "thick loaf"

A Baraisa records a dispute between Beis Shamai and Beis Hillel regarding the permissibility to bake "thick loaves" on Pesach.

R' Huna understands the Baraisa literally as referring to thick loaves, but this understanding is refuted.

The alternative explanation is that it refers to the issue of baking more loaves on Yom Tov than necessary, and two reasons are presented as to why the Tanna refers to this case as "thick loaves."

2) The use of different types of matzah

A Baraisa records rulings regarding the use of different types of matzos and specifically a discussion regarding forming matzos into distinct shapes.

3) Defining bread

A Beraisa lists different varieties of "bread" that are exempt from the obligation to separate challah.

According to Reish Lakish the reason why these "breads" are exempt from the obligation to separate challah is that they are fried rather than baked. According to R' Yochanan dough that is fried is subject to the challah obligation and the reason the breads mentioned are exempt is that they are baked in the sun.

Two unsuccessful challenges to Reish Lakish are presented.

The Gemara successfully challenges R' Yochanan's position and it is suggested that R' Yochanan would maintain that there is a dispute between Tannaim on the issue but this suggestion does not prove to be definitive.

The Gemara records a conversation among Rabbah, R' Yosef and R' Zeira about this issue. ■

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated by Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Ruben
In memory of their parents
ר' אברהם וואלף בן ר' בערל ז"ל
ר' חיים שלום בו ר' בנדיט מאיר ז"ל

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated L'ilui nishmas for Chaim ben Yitzchak (Harvey Bresler, Washington DC)

<u>Distinctive INSIGHT</u>

Breaking cakes with lettering on them יובסריקין המצויירין בפסח וכו

Rema (O.C. 340:3) writes that it is prohibited to break apart a cake on Shabbos if it has upon it any type of lettering. Even if one only has in mind to do so for the sake of eating, nevertheless, this is still considered "erasing," and it is a violation of Shabbos.

This seems to be a problem with our Gemara. We prohibit fashioning the matzah into shapes only due to the concern that the one baking it will spend extra time shaping the dough, thus creating a problem of chometz. However, this suggests that had it not been for this specific concern, it would be permitted to eat cakes or breads which are in the shape of letters. If Rema is correct, how can this be permitted, even without the issue of chometz?

Magen Avraham cites the מהר"ש הלוי who answers that the only case where the Rema prohibits breaking of cakes is where the writing on the cake is formed by a different substance (icing). However, if the writing is part of the cake or bread itself, this is permitted. Chazon Ish brings our Gemara as a source for the opinion of the מהר"ש הלוי. ■

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. How thick were the loaves of the lechem hapanim?
- 2. What is the dispute between R' Yochanan and Reish Lakish regarding fried dough?
- 3. At what point is dough considered sufficiently baked that it may be used for the mitzvah of matzah?
- 4. Why did R' Zeira refuse to present Rabbah's and R' Yosef's question to Ulla?

HALACHAH Highlight

The size of "thick bread"

[אין אופין פת עבה] וכמה פת עבה א"ר הונא טפח. שכן מצינו בלחם הפנים טפח. מתקיף לה רב יוסף אם אמרו וכו'

One can not bake "thick bread." And how much is "thick bread?" R. Huna says: one handbreadth. As we find by the show-bread (in the Holy Temple) which was the size of a handbreadth. R' Yosef asked....

ven though R' Yosef argued against R' Huna, and rejects his proof that the size of "thick bread" is a tefach, we still find many Rishonim¹ and even the Shulchan Aruch² who hold that "thick bread" is one tefach. Therefore, the size of the matzahs should not be this size. Nonetheless, the Rema³ writes, that ideally⁴ one should make his matzahs extremely fine so they will not quickly ferment (and thereby become chometz). Truthfully, thin matzos have another advantage, because if thick matzahs split⁵ in the middle, and the upper part becomes raised (i.e. it forms a bubble), it will become forbidden as it will be "matzah nefuchah". Thin

matzos however, says the Mishna Berura⁶, have no problems with the holes and cracks which are apparent on the outside. Furthermore, even if the matzah is only partially thin layered, one does not have to worry about small bubbles that result from the baking (as opposed to the thicker matzos where such bubbles do indeed present a problem). Also, the laws of "doubled-over matzos" (where part of the matzah folds upon itself) are more lenient⁷ for thin matzos than for thick ones.

- $1. \;\;$ הריטב"א בשם הרא"ה כתב שנהגו בדקים, ובדיעבד עד טפח. וכ"כ עוד ראשונים. וע"ע ברבינו ירוחם נ"ג ח"ג מ"ב ב' ומאירי התיר פחות מטפח ועי' בכה"ח בשם כל בו וכ" באורחות חיים, שיעשה דקים מדין לחם עוני
 - 2. באו"ח סימן ת"ס ס"ה
- שם ס"ד. ועי"ש בד"מ ג' שמקורו ממהר"י ו"ל קצ"ג. (ועי"ש במהרי"ו שמקורו מרש"י בד"ה עושין, שהבין שגם כשאינם מציורים יעשם דקים מה"ט, ועי"ש ברש"י וקצ"ע)
 - כ"כ שם המ"ב דר"ל לכתחילה
 - כמפורש במ"ב תס"א ס"ק ל"ג
 - שם ס"ק ל"ה. וכן עי"ש בעה"ש אות י"ב
- וכמש"כ שם במ"ב וז"ל שאיו לאסור תערובתה וכו' וה"ה לענין כפולה. עכ"ל. וע"ע מצ"מ פ"ח הערה כ"ד והובא בפסק"ת

Distinctive INSIGH

The minimum amount of baking necessary to be considered as "bread" יוצאין במצה הינא...מאי מצה הינא! אמר רב יהודה אמר שמואל כל שפורסה ואין חוטין נמשכין הימנה. אמר רבא וכן לחמי

f a matzah is baked less than a minimum amount, it would not be considered matzah, but rather dough. It would also present a problem as far as chometz is concerned, for matzah must be baked adequately in order for the leavening process to come to a halt. The Gemara identifies this minimal amount of baking to be "הינא". This means half-baked. Rav Yehuda says in the name of Shmuel that at this point, if the matzah is broken the parts will easily separate without strands of dough pulling out of it.

Rava adds that this degree of baking is also what is necessary for the

loaves which accompany the Todah at the stage of הינא as well. If the offering. The halachah is that the forty baking is interrupted, however, then loaves which accompany this offering the earlier moment of slaughtering the become sanctified at the moment the animal when the loaves had merely animal for the Todah is slaughtered. crusted is now viewed as having been This happens, however, only if the inadequate. loaves are already baked at the moment of the שחיטה. Rava therefore informs loaves of Todah should be baked in us that the technical moment of "being" four forms of ten loaves each. Howevbaked" is at the stage of "הינא".

defines as "פניה surface crusts." Sfas Emes wonders, continue to bake and eventually arrive would pull apart cleanly.

Chasam Sofer explains that the er, if the four forms of loaves are baked Rashi comments that this coincides as one large loaf each rather than ten with what the Gemara in Menachos loaves each, the offering is acceptable where the (Rav Tuvi bar Kisna, Menachos 76a).

The different stages of הינא and however, why our Gemara calls this crusting can now be each referring to moment of baking by a different term these two situations. If the loaves were than is commonly used (קרמו than is commonly used (הינא) baked as ten smaller loaves per type, פניה). He therefore explains that הינא is then the minimum degree of baking actually later than crusting. He then would be crusting, as described in the offers a fascinating insight to the words Gemara in Menachos. However, if the of Rava. While it is true that the loaves loaves were baked as four larger loaves, of the Todah become sanctified at the then the minimum amount of baking earlier moment of crusting, this is only to be considered as bread is a later true if the loaves are later allowed to stage, that of הינא, where the pieces

