פסחים מ"ג



## **OVERVIEW** of the Daf

#### 1) Clarifying the Mishnah

A Baraisa explains why the Mishnah gave examples in addition to presenting the general principle of these halachos.

The Gemara asks: Who is the author of the Mishnah who holds that chometz in a mixture (תערובות) and defective chometz (נוקשה) are Biblically prohibited?

R' Yehudah in the name of Rav asserts that the Mishnah reflects R' Meir's opinion.

R' Nachman states that the Mishnah reflects the opinion of R' Eliezer.

The Gemara explains why R' Yehudah and R' Nachman reject the other's suggestion, and a Baraisa is cited in support of R' Yehudah's opinion.

### 2) Clarifying R' Eliezer's opinion

The Gemara clarifies R' Eliezer's position that chometz in a mixture is Biblically prohibited but not punishable with kareis.

After the Gemara explains the position of Rabanan, who disagree with R' Eliezer, it turns back to R' Eliezer to account for the additional words introduced to the discussion by Rabanan, and R' Eliezer is unable to explain these words

# 3) Combining permitted substances with prohibited substances

R' Avahu in the name of R' Yochanan states that, in general, permitted substances do not combine with prohibited substances except for the forbidden substances of the nazir.

Zeiri adds, as an exception, the prohibition of placing leaven on the mizbeach. ■

## REVIEW and Remember

- 1. Why is it important for a person to be familiar with the names of different items?
- 2. What is the source that women are included in the prohibition against chometz?
- 3. What is the source that women are obligated in the mitzvah of matzah?
- 4. Explain היתר מצטרף לאיסור.

# **Distinctive INSIGHT**

Clarifying the rules of permitted and prohibited mixtures

אמר רבי אבהו אמר רבי יוחנן כל איסורים שבתורה אין היתר מצטרף לאיסור

he Rishonim offer varying explanations and circumstances of the rule that "the permitted joins with the prohibited" to be measured together.

Rashi (ד"ה אין, אף) understands that this rule applies when the two substances, that which is permitted and that which is prohibited, are not mixed together. Rather, it is where each is recognizable as distinct, but they are eaten together. Chidushei Rabeinu Dovid and Chidushei Hari"m each explain that if the two items would be mixed together, and the person would consume a k'zayis of the blend, this would be prohibited due to טעם כעיקר. The rule here of צירוף, therefore, must be speaking about where the items are not mixed, but are rather only eaten together.

Others explain that not only do we use this concept of היתר מצטרף לאיטור when the items are separate but eaten together, but we also use it when the two items are combined (ר"ש ב"ב מ"ג). Still others משאנ"ץ) (ר"ש point out that it is only when the items are separate that we use this rule, but when they are combined and the prohibited item is not recognizable, we do not say that the permitted substance joins with that which is prohibited.

Tosafos (ד"ה כמאן) says that it is only when the items are blended together that we say היתר מצטרף לאיטור. Tosafos brings a proof to his opinion from the Baraisa cited on 45a about meat which comes into contact with the flesh of a chattas offering. The halachah is that once any meat touches a chattas, and it absorbs some of the chattas into itself, the meat that comes into contact must be consumed within the shortened time framework of the chattas itself. We see, claims Tosafos, that it is when the two items are combined that the rule of צירוני

# HALACHAH Highlight

Medications which contain chometz on Pesach הגמ' מבארת שמה שאמר ר"א שעובר אף על תכשיטי נשים ר"ל כדאר"י א"ר בנות ישראל שהגיעו לפרקן ולא הגיעו לשנים וכו' טופלות אותן סולת

The Gemara explains Rav Elazar's words said about women's cosmetics...young women who have not yet reached the age of maturity but have begun to show signs of maturity (through the growth of hairs)...can remove the hair with lime...

**L** n our days, there are many forms of creams and medications which may include chometz. R. Shlomo Zalman Aurbach, zt"l, writes<sup>1</sup> that even if a medicine tastes a bit bitter, nonetheless this may not qualify as "not edible for a dog," for our standards of taste are quite discriminate. And if a food is technically edible by a human it is forbidden to have it in one's possession. Therefore, barring life-and-death situations, how could one use such medicines (even if it does taste bitter)?

There are two leniencies in this matter. R. Moshe Feinstein<sup>2</sup>, zt"l, permits the usage of medicines provided they were no longer classified as "food" before Pesach. Also, one could<sup>3</sup> use creams which are not edible for humans, provided they are not used for the sole purpose of pleasure (but to smooth skin or some other function). R. Shlomo Zalman Aurbach<sup>4</sup>, zt"l, only permits the use of medicines for ill people. Also, the medicine is only permitted if it is not chewable and is only fit for swallowing. Since this method of con-

sumption is not considered the normal way of eating, it is indeed permissible for one who is sick (even if the illness is not life-threatening). Nonetheless<sup>5</sup>, the common custom is to sell such medicines to the non-Jew and use only Kosher for Pesach medicines.

- בשו"ת מנחת שלמה ח"א סימן י"ז אות ב'
- בשו"ת אג"מ באו"ח ח"ב בריש סימן צ"ב. ועי"ש שכתב וז"ל ואחשביה לא שייך בדבר שלוקח לרפואה דאף דברים מרים ומאוסים נוטלין לרפואה עכ"ל. ומאידף בשו"ת מנח"ש הנ"ל באות א', ז"ל הבולע מחשיב מאד את החמץ מפני שעי"ז הוא יכול לבלוע התרופה וכו' עכ"ל
- בשו"ת אג"מ או"ח ח"ג סימן ס"ב [והנה חמץ שנתקלקל עד שנפסל לכלב יש ב' ציורים שמותר לקיימוץ או שנתקלקל וכן קודם שהחמיץ, או אפילו שם נתקלקל כל רק אחרי שהחמיד אבל קודם זמן איסורו. (תמ"ב ס"ק ט' וי"ב) ואם נתקלקל רק עד אינו ראוי לאכילב אדם כלל אבל ראוי לכלב אסור לקיימו אא"כ שנתערב בהיתיר קודם זמן איסורו, שאז מותר לקיימו ולהנות ממנו. [שו"ע שם ס"ד וכן בס"א וכדפירש בר המ"ב שם בס"ק ה' י"ח י"א וכ"ב) ולדעת הרמ"א בלח שנתערב קודם פסח מותר אפילו לאכלו (הרמ"א בתמ"ז ס"ד. ומה שהרמ"א סתר א"ע שסתם הרמ"א בתמ"ב ס"ד להקל, והאחרונים תמהו עליו. י"ל דמדינא ס"ל דמ"מ אין חוזר וניעור אלא שמ"מ אסור מפני מנהינא. ביבש ביבש.) וכ"ז בחמץ הראוי לאכילה עד שנתערב. משא"כ בנוקשה שנתערב כתב המ"ב (תמ"ב ס"ק י"ט ) שדעת הרבה אחרונים שמותר לאכלו, ואפילו כשנתערב
- במנח"ש שם בד"ה אך, והנה לגרשז"א זצ"ל בתרופה שצריך למצוץ או ללעוס אסור. ודע עוד שבסוף ספר מאור השבת ח"ב במכתבי הגרשז"א זצ"ל מכתב ל' אות ו' ז"ל בזמנינו יש ודאי דברים חריפים שפוסל מאכילת כלב ובענין סיכה כשתיה בבה"ל סוף סימן שכ"ו כתב שנוהגים להקל. ושי"ש יחמיר. (וק"ו לפסח)
  - עי' בפסק"ת שמ"ב ב' ה'. ועע"ש תס"ו א'

Women's obligation to eat matzah כל שישנו בבל תאכל חמץ ישנו באכילת מצה, אכול מצה

In this verse, the prohibition not to eat chometz and the mitzvah to eat matzah are associated with each other. This comes to teach us that just as women are warned not to eat chometz, so too, they are obligated to eat matzah.

Tosafos (Megilla 4a) notes that women are obligated to drink the four cups of wine at the seder based upon a concept of אף היו באותו הנס—even the exodus from Egypt. Why do we not on Sukkos. We also know that there is apply this rule regarding matzah as a גזירה שוה which links the eating of well, and say that women are required matzah and the mitzvah to dwell in a to partake in this mitzvah due to their sukkah. Although we might have inhaving been part of the miracle which cluded women in the mitzvah of matthe matzah commemorates?

is only used to include ed them from the mitzvah of matzah women in mitzvos which are rabbinic, due to the connection we have with such as the four cups at the seder, read-the mitzvah of living in a sukkah. Being Megilla and lighting Chanukah cause these lessons each lead us in opcandles. We do not apply it to mitzvos posite directions, we would not know of the Torah, where the Torah itself what to conclude. This, then, is why we sets its own guidelines for women and need the association between matzah their level of participation.

answer, as well. We know that women

women were included in the miracle of are exempt from residing in a sukkah zah due to the rule of 'אף הו היו וכו', on Tosafos answers that the rule of n the other hand we might have exemptand chometz to teach that women are, Tosafos also provides a different indeed, obligated to eat matzah.

