

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Biur shemitah (cont.)

After challenging R' Ilai's act of chopping down a tree with berries during shemittah, the Gemara changes the circumstances of the story to show that he was not in violation of the halachah.

A Baraisa is cited that presents guidelines to the latest time a person may retain various varieties of shemittah produce in his home.

A contradiction is noted between two Baraisos regarding the latest time to remove dates from one's home.

Two resolutions are presented.

2) Trees

R' Shimon ben Gamliel uses particular trees to identify different geographical entities.

The Gemara explains the necessity of these teachings.

3) MISHNAH: The Mishnah discusses the different minhagim related to selling animals to non-Jews. Additionally, the Mishnah mentions the different customs regarding eating roasted meat on the night of Pesach.

4) Meat eaten the night of Pesach

R' Yehudah in the name of Rav ruled: It is prohibited for a person to say, "This meat is for Pesach," because it appears as if he is eating consecrated meat outside the Beis HaMikdash.

Ray's ruling is unsuccessfully challenged from a Baraisa.

5) Clarifying the Baraisa

Two versions of the previously-cited Baraisa are presented. According to one version the Baraisa was authored by Yosi and according to the second it was R' Shimon. The Gemara attempts to determine the true author of the Baraisa.

The Gemara questions whether Todos of Rome was a great man or a powerful violent man.

The Gemara demonstrates that Todos was a great man.

6) MISHNAH: There are different minhagim regarding the lighting of candles in one's home the night of Yom Kippur, although in public areas it is permitted.

7) Clarifying the minhag

A Baraisa explains how both minhagim had the same intent in mind when formulating their approach.

8) Fire

R' Yehudah in the name of Shmuel said that we make a berachah on fire only after Shabbos since fire was created after Shabbos.

The Gemara recounts a conversation between Ulla and Rabbah bar bar Channah relating to this halachah. ■

Distinctive INSIGHT

The exemplary example of Chananya, Mishael and Azarya

מה ראו חנניה מישאל ועזריה וכו'

odos HaRofeh taught a lesson about the incident of Chananya Mishael and Azarya (abbreviated ממ") who decided to enter the burning furnace, rather than bow down to the statue which Nevuchadnezar had erected. This was due to a lesson they learned from the episode of the plague of frogs in Egypt. What was the lesson, and what was the conclusion which Chananya Mishael and Azarya understood?

Rashi points out that these three outstanding individuals might have felt that it was not allowed to give one's life to do a mitzvah. As the verse states: חותי בהם, and not that one should die to keep them. Nevertheless, they realized that during the plague of frogs in Egypt, the frogs were eager to jump even into the burning ovens (see Shemos 7:28) just in order to fulfill the mitzvah of infesting every part of the country. ממ"ר also wanted to create a Kiddush Hashem, so they allowed themselves to be thrown into the furnace.

Rabeinu Tam understands that the statue of Nevuchadnezer was not an idol. Rather, it was a monument built for his own honor. ממי did not have to resist bowing in homage to the point of death, but they did so to create a Kiddush Hashem, as did the frogs.

R'I (in Tosafos) understands that the question is

(Continued on page 2)

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. According to R' Yosef, what is more severe; lashes or banishment?
- 2. Does R' Yehudah's ruling in the Mishnah express a stringency or a leniency?
- 3. How far will animals travel to obtain food?
- 4. What is the source that prohibits chopping down a tree bearing fruit during shemittah?

HALACHAH Highlight

Supporting Talmidei Chachamim דא"ר יוחנו כל המטיל מלאי לכיס תלמידי חכמים זוכה ויושב בישיבה של מעלה וכו'

R. Yochanan said whoever gives merchandise to the pocket of the Talmidei Chachamim will merit to sit in the heavenly Yeshiva, etc.

▲ he T'shuvas D'var Shmuel¹ asks: Which path should one choose: to learn Torah and acquire talmidim all week, yet be supported from others, or to make one's own living (from money which has no trace² of gezel, interest, or ona'ah), and set times to learn during the week and dedicate Shabbos as a day of learning? He answers that there is no question that it is better to live a life filled with wisdom, because ignorance and deficiency in Torah causes great damage and leads to errors. He then proceeds to show³ that there is no one who argues with this reasoning. In practice, the Beiur Halachah⁴ cites the D'var Shmuel as authoritative.

The Tashbatz⁵ also writes, "it is obligatory for all of the Jews to honorably support the scholars and judges for whom Torah is their sole occupation. This will allow them the ability not to divert time from their holy work, with pursuit of other occupations. Also, this will insure that the unlearned will have proper respect for them." Also see there where he elaborates upon the obligation (Insight...Continued from page 1)

why did מ"ו not escape the scene before the confrontation was to take place? They should have avoided the entire situation by escaping to Eretz Yisroel, as Daniel did. Why did they choose to remain and face the ultimatum to bow or to die? This was the question of the Gemara, and the Gemara explains, as above, that they elected to create a Kiddush Hashem, as did the frogs in Egypt.

to support them. (See Gemara Gem for a comparison to our Gemara which says that to give them merchandise is a great mitzvah, but not an obligation.)

- 1. בשו"ת דבר שמואל סימן קל"ח והובא בבאה"ט ובביאה"ל
 - 2. כן ביאר הבה"ל דלהלן שרק בכה"ג ההי מקום לשאול
- כלורמ. שאפילו והרמב"ם בהלכות תלמוד תורה פ"ג ה"י, וכן בפיהמ"ש באבות בפ"ד מ"ה, התנגד לזה, מ"מ מבואר ברמב"ם גופא בהלכות שקלים פ"ד שצריך לפרנסו כדי שיוכל להתקיים תלמודו בידו וכו' עי"ש בבאה"ל. ובלא"ה הרבה ראשונים ואחרונים חלקו על הרמב"ם והוכיחו שלא כדבריו. עי' שם בכס"מ שם ובב"י וב"ח ביור"ד סופ סימו רמ"ו
- 4. הביאה"ל בסימן רל"א. וכן עי' בבהא"ל בסבימן רנ"ו בסוד"ה
- 5. בשו"ת תשב". ח"א בריש סימן קמ"ב ועע"ש עד סימן קמ"ח 6. בתשב". שם סימן קמ"ד, ע"ז ודע עוד שכתב הברכי יוסף ביו"ד בסימן רמ"ו סכ"א כשמביא מאליו ומעצמו בלי סיבה ופניה רק לשם שמים אז מותר לקבל ומצוה איכא והנמנע לקבל מונע ממנו חסד וכו' עכ"ל. [ולכא' י"ל בזה גם את טעם הגמ' במגילה כז א' אתייקורי הוא דמתייקרו ב'. .שהת"ח הוא הנותן בכה"ג ודו"ק] ■

Providing monetary support for talmidei chachamim כל המטיל מלאי לכיס של תלמידי חכמים זוכה ויושב בישיבה של מעלה

en Yehoyada explains that the wording of Rabbi Yose bar Avin in the blessing (כל המטיל מלאי) promised to the one who fills the pocket of a talmid chacham indicates that this is valid whether the investment is set up such that the talmid chacham receives only the profit, or whether the entire sum is granted to him. It is also inclusive of

situations where the investment is set studies, this is a much higher level of up such that the talmid chacham monipartnership in Torah support, and retors the business activities himself, or if garding such a situation we reward the this daily or otherwise regular interven- benefactor with even greater blessings. tion is delegated to others.

stances here refer to where the entire is promised that he will bask directly in sum is given to the talmid chacham, the radiance of the Shechinah, and not and he is then able to invest it and be merely sit in the shade of His presence. supported from the funds it generates. talmid chacham having to interrupt his chacham himself.

The Gemara in Kesuvos (111a) reports Ein Eliyahu writes that the circumthat in such a situation, the supporter

In is also noteworthy that the ex-This is why the reward is to sit "in the pression in our Gemara states that the shade of the Shechinah." However, if supporter will sit in the shade של מעלה. someone takes upon himself to deal. The word מעלה suggests that the place and trade on the behalf of the talmid reserved for such a person is "above," chacham, and he provides the profits which indicates that it is a level which this Torah scholar without the is even higher than that of the talmid

