DAFYOMI DIGEST

סנהדרין צ"ו

Torah Chesed

TO2

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Sancheriv (cont.)

The Gemara continues to describe the events of Sancheriv's death.

Tangentially, the Gemara notes another time an angel struck an army and then further expounds verses related to that incident.

2) Nevuchadnetzar

The Gemara presents a lengthy discussion of Nevuchadnetzar and his conquest of Yerushalayim.

The incident in which Nevuchadnetzar took four steps for which he was rewarded is recorded.

The Gemara explains the origin of the name Beladan ben Beladen.

R' Chisda and R' Yitzchok bar Avudimi offer different explanations how Nevuzraden "carried" Nevuchadnetzar with him.

Nevuzraden's conquest of Yerushalayim is described.

Since it was mentioned that Nevuzraden converted to Judaism a Beraisa lists other enemies who converted to Judaism.

The Gemara relates how Nevuchadnetzar was convinced to attack Yerushalayim.

3) Moshiach

The Gemara begins a discussion related to Moshiach. ■

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. What happened when Sancheriv found a board from Noach's ark
- 2. What four steps earned Nevuchadnetzar a reward?
- 3. How did Nevuzaradan "carry" Nevuchadnetzar with him as he travelled?
- 4. What happened to some of the descendants of Haman?

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By Mr. Chaim Fisher in memory of his mother מרת בתיי בת ר׳ צבי הירש, ע״ה

Distinctive INSIGHT

Na'aman was a ד תנשב

תנו רבנן נעמן גר תושב היה

a'aman was a general of the army of Aram. As is described in Melachim 2, Chapter 5, he was afflicted with a severe, incurable case of tzara'as, and he was advised to travel to Eretz Yisroel and consult with Elisha, the prophet, who would cure him of his ailment. That is exactly what he did, and he was eventually cured by Elisha. Na'aman was very grateful, and as a result of this incident, he converted from being an idolater to accepting Hashem. Our Gemara teaches that Na'aman became a גר תושב. Rashi explains that this means that although he did not accept upon himself to observe all the mitzvos of the Torah, he did accept upon himself to abandon idolatry.

Sefer באר שבע points out that this seems problematic, as the technical definition of a גר תושב is a matter of dispute in the Gemara Avoda Zara (64b), where we find that it is R' Meir who holds that a גר מושב is one who has accepts upon himself not to worship idolatry, while the Chachamim hold that a gentile must accept upon himself to obey all seven mitzvos of Bnei Noach in order to qualify as a גר תושב. The halacha generally follows the majority opinion, which here would be the Chachamim. Why, then, did Rashi choose to explain the situation of Na'aman according to R' Meir, rather than according to Chachamim? This is also noted by Rabbi Akiva Eiger in Avoda Zara, in his "(ibid.).

Perhaps we can say that Rashi holds that the dispute between R' Meir and Chachamim is only in regard to the halacha of giving the גר תושב preferential treatment over a נכרי, one who is a complete non-Jew. It is only in reference to the halacha of providing the גר תושב non-shechted meat (נבילות) that the Chachamim require that he accept all seven mitzvos of Bnei Noach. This is the halacha of "להחיותו" But it may be that even the Chachamim agree that the mere acceptance not to worship idolatry may be adequate to define him as a תושב.

Rashi to Gittin (57b) clearly comments that Na'aman did not accept upon himself to observe anything more that not to worship idolatry, as is suggested in the verse (Melachim 2, 5:17), where he appealed to Elisha to allow him to worship idolatry just one more time and excuse him for it. Perhaps we can say that Na'aman was some-

HALACHAH Highlight

Accepting converts from Amalek מבני בניו של המן למדו תורה בבני ברק

The grandchildren of Haman studied Torah in Bnei Brak

Tambam¹ writes that if Amalek does not agree to make this is that it is only R' Eliezer who states in the Mechilta peace with the Jewish People they are to be annihilated. He that we do not accept converts from Amalek but according then demonstrates that the obligation to eradicate Amalek to the other opinions in the Mechilta it is permitted to acapplies only when they refuse to make peace. This allows, at cept converts from Amalek. Rav Wosner then adds an inleast in theory, for the possibility of accepting converts from teresting interpretation to R' Eliezer's explanation why Amalek. Chazon Ish² challenged this implication from a Dovid HaMelech. How could R' Eliezer say that Dovid Mechilta. R' Eliezer cited in the Mechilta infers from a HaMelech had the Amaleki convert killed because he was pasuk that we do not accept converts from Amalek. This an Amaleki when it is evident from the verse that he had was the reason Dovid Hamelech ordered the Amaleki con- him killed because of what he had done to Shaul vert to be killed (2 Shmuel 1:15). He recalled the halacha HaMelech? Rather, the sequence of events was that after that states that converts may be accepted from all the na- the Amaleki told Dovid Hamelech that he killed Shaul tions except Amalek and thus had him killed. How then HaMelech Dovid HaMelech recalled the halacha that we do could Rambam write that there is the possibility of accept- not accept converts from Amalek. As such, he is still considing a convert from Amalek? He answers by explaining that ered a gentile and could be executed by trial of a single during a time of war one may not accept a convert from judge and the testimony of a single witness or even his own Amalek but when it is not a time of war it is permitted. He admission. Thus he was liable for execution for the murder finds support for this from our Gemara which relates that of Shaul and he was subject to execution because as an Amgrandchildren of Haman, a descendant of Amalek, studied aleki he could not convert. Torah in Bnei Brak.

Rav Shmuel Halevi Wosner⁴, author of Shevet Halevi, maintains that it is more logical to assume that Rambam rejects the halacha recorded in Mechilta than to draw the distinction whether it was a time of war or not. The basis of (Insight...continued from page 1)

what civil, and he was not a murderer or thief, and he did not eat אבר מן החי. He had simply erred regarding God's singularity. When he accepted not to worship idolatry, this completed his acceptance of all the seven mitzvos of Bnei Noach. ■

- רמביים פייו מהלי מלכים הייד.
 - חזוייא יוייד סיי קנייז סקייה.
 - מכילתא סוף פרשת בשלח.
- שויית שבט הלוי חייה סיי קמייט.

For the Sake of Heaven

יירהט בתריה...יי

sake of heaven!"

The Chofetz Chaim exclaimed this and explained that that we learn it from a statement on today's daf. "If one offers a gift to a king of flesh and blood, he must consider the possibility that his gift will be rejected. And even if the king accepts his gift, he cannot know how much of an impact it will make on him; perhaps in the king's eyes, his gift will be of little conseaction done for His honor is very pre-messenger and called him back, giving cious.

"We learn this from Sanhedrin 96. There we find that when the king of he became king of the entire world and Bavel sent a letter to Chizkiyahu was given many other benefits listed ow great is an action for the Hamelech he wrote, 'Shalom to King there. From this we see the greatness of Chizkiyahu, Shalom to Yerushalayim, every action that we perform for the Shalom to the great Hashem.' Nevu- sake of heaven. Is it so difficult to pay chadnezzar, who was at that time the attention to our many mitzvos and fo-Babylonian king's usual scribe, had not cus on acting for the sake of heaven? been present when the letter was draft. We will fulfill them regardless. It is ed. When he returned and heard the surely worthwhile to add the simple above text it upset him. "The 'great thought that we wish our deeds to be Hashem' should be first! We must for the sake of heaven!" write instead, 'Shalom to the great Hashem, Shalom to King Chizkiyahu, Shalom to Yerushalayim. Nevuchad-

quence. But to Hashem, every good nezzar then ran a few steps after the them a letter with his text instead.

"In the merit of this slight exertion,

1. שיחות החפץ חיים, עי רטייו ■

