שבת ע"ט



OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Loan document (cont.)

Two additional explanations are presented to explain the disagreement regarding liability for transporting a paid loan document.

2) Leather

Rava asked R' Nachman four questions regarding leather on Shabbos

- 1. If one transports leather, how much must be transport to be liable?
- 2. How much leather must be tanned to be liable for tanning?
- 3. If one transports untanned leather, how much must be transport to be liable?
- 4. If one transports untanned leather that does not stand to be tanned, how much must be transport to be liable?

R' Nachman responded to all of the inquiries that the amount for liability is the size necessary to make an amulet.

Rava presents three, unsuccessful, challenges to R' Nachman's assertion that there is no difference between processed and unprocessed items.

The Gemara also presents two, unsuccessful, challenges to R' Nachman's position.

3) Parchment

A Baraisa states that the minimum size for transporting parchment is the size upon which a mezuzah can be written, which contradicts the ruling of the Mishnah which ruled that it is the size for writing a small parsha of tefillin.

The Gemara answers that when the Baraisa uses the term mezuzah it refers to the scroll in tefillin. Another Baraisa is cited as proof that the scroll of tefillin is called mezuzah.

Rav ruled that duch sustus is the same as klaf, meaning just as tefillin may be written on klaf so too they may be written on duch sustus.

A series of unsuccessful challenges to Rav are recorded. R' Pappa and the Gemara propose proofs to Rav but the Gemara does find them to be compelling.

A Baraisa quotes the opinion of R' Meir who permits writing a mezuzah on parchment. Accordingly, it is possible, suggests the Gemara, that Rav ruled that klaf is like duchsustus, meaning, just as one may write a mezuzah on duchsustus so, too, one may write it on klaf.

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated לע"נ מרת שיינדעל מרים בת ר' יחיאל יוסף Jean Erdfrucht, a"h

> Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By Mr. and Mrs. Bruce Leon in memory of their mother מרת חי' בת ר' מרדכי, ע"ה

Distinctive INSIGHT

A lesson in Safrus

הלכה למשה מסיני תפילין על הקלף ומזוזה על דוכסוסטוס. קלף במקום בשר, דוכסוסטוס במקום שיער

hen the hide is processed, the skin of the animal is split into two parts. The outside piece is called קלף and the inside piece, closer to the flesh, is called דוכסוסטוד. The מזוזה. The supposed to be written on the outer part of this inner skin. (Shulchan Aruch O. C. 32:7, according to the interpretation of Rashi and Tosafos in our Gemara). When the Baraisa says that the קלף is toward the flesh, it is referring to the layer of the קלף upon where the writing must take place. In other words, we write the chapters of the shema for the tefillin on the inside of the קלף. Similarly, when the Baraisa says that קלף. Similarly, when the Baraisa says that דוכטוטטוס is toward the hair, it does not mean that the דוכטוטטוס itself is toward the hair, because this it is not the case. Rather, it means that the writing of מזווות is on the outer-side of this inner layer of skin, which is the side closer to the hair.

There is another term used in this context. A sefer Torah is written upon גויל. This is the full skin, unsplit. The hair is removed and the surfaced is smoothed by being scraped off. On the side facing the flesh of the animal nothing is removed, and it is smoothed out.

Some Rishonim (Rambam, Ramban, Ritva) explain the sugya in the reverse. They say that קלף refers to the inner layer of the skin, which faces the flesh, while דוכטוסטוֹ is the outer layer of the skin which faces the hair of the animal. When the Baraisa says קלף במקום בשר it means that the קלף itself is the inside layer of this split skin. Similarly, when it says דוטוסטוס, it means that this layer is that which is toward the skin, meaning the outer layer of this split hide. According to these Rishonim, the Baraisa does not tell us where the writing itself must be, but they explain that it is not along the split itself, but it is rather along the outside layers of the relative pieces.

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. Why would a borrower be opposed to accepting a receipt?
- 2. What is the process of preparing a hide?
- 3. What is another name for the scroll inside of tefillin?
- 4. Why is it prohibited to use a piece of worn out Sefer Torah for a mezuzah?

A Sefer Torah with Mistakes

כיוצא בו תפילין שבלו וספר תורה שבלה אין עושין מהן מזוזה לפי שאין מורידין מקדושה חמורה לקדושה קלה...

רשייי שם : ספר תורה שבלה - אין מחתכין ממנה יריעה שפרשיות שמע והיה אם שמוע בתוכה, לקובען במזוזה:

Similarly, tefillin that have worn out and a Sefer Torah that has worn out, one cannot make from them a Mezuzah, because we may not bring down from a more severe sanctity to a lesser sanctity.

Rashi: A Sefer Torah that has worn out - we may not cut the page in which are the paragraphs of Shema and VeHayah Im Shamoa to place them in a Mezuzah.

Uhulchan Aruch¹ rules in accordance with this Gemara. Teshuvos Chasam Sofer² cites a rabbi who asks whether perhaps this is only the law in the case of a Sefer Torah that was kosher at some point. However, in the case of a Sefer Torah that is so full of mistakes that it cannot be read from in public, perhaps it may be permitted to cut out the pages with the paragraphs of Shema and VeHayah Im Shamoa and make a Mezuzah from these paragraphs?

Chasam Sofer responds, however, that the Gemara states that a Sefer Torah that wore out cannot be made into a Mezuzah. The implication is that if the Sefer Torah has not worn out, and is merely full of mistakes, the requirement to preserve its sanctity would be even greater! For if in the case of a worn out Sefer Torah that is beyond repair, the respective paragraphs may not be removed and used to craft a Mezuzah, how much more so in the case of a Sefer Torah that is merely full of mistakes, that can still be repaired and used to read in public.

Chasam Sofer adds that although Teshuvos Rivash³ writes that a Sefer Torah that has mistakes is categorized as a Chumash, Rivash did not mean that a Sefer Torah with mistakes is on a lower level of sanctity than one that has no mistakes, but only that it may be sold and its money used for other purposes.⁴ Moreover, continues Chasam Sofer, even a Chumash (one of the five books of the Torah written independently) that is written on parchment and made as a scroll is of the same level of sanctity as a Sefer Torah - although it is not proper to read from it in public.

Maharal⁵ takes this a step further and states that one may continue to read in public from a Sefer Torah which is missing letters or has extra letters, as its sanctity is the same as a Sefer Torah with no mistakes. He explains that although a Sefer Torah with mistakes has the status of a Chumash, in fact, even a Chumash is only ineligible for public reading because it is not proper to fulfill the congregation's obligation to hear the Torah reading from a scroll that contains only a part of the Torah. In the case of a Sefer Torah that has some mistakes, however, the entire Torah is present in the scroll, and therefore it can be used to fulfill the congregation's obligation.⁶

On the basis of these Poskim and other sources, Teshuvos Tzitz Eliezer⁷ rejects a certain essay that stated that some individuals attempt to refrain from accepting aliyos lest the Sefer Torah to which they are called contains mistakes. He concludes that it is not mandatory to submit a Sefer Torah to a computer scan to ascertain whether it contains too few or too many letters, and that such practice is laudable, but not essential, so long as the Sefer Torah underwent a comprehensive check by a reliable Sofer.8 ■

- : שוייע יורה דעה סימן רייצ
- שויית חתם סופר חלק ב (יוייד) סימן רעייט, הובא בפתחי תשובה שם סייק אי. סיי רפייו, עיין ברמייא יורה דעה סימן רפייב סעיף ייח: יחיד שמוכר ספר תורה
- שלו ותשמישו יש מי שמתיר להשתמש בדמיו ויש מי שאוסר (ואם היו בה טעיות : לכייע שרי (ריבייש סיי רפייו) ועיין באייח סימן קנייג) שו"ת חתם סופר שם: מ"ש הריב"ש סס"י רפייו דס"ת שיש בו טעות אינו אלא כחומש היינו התם כי דאעייג דזטייה במעמד אנשי העיר יכולים למכור בהייכ וכל הנשני במשנתינו ולהתנוי ולהוריד המעוי מקדושתו כי שם דסיית שלא ניתן למכור
- כלל כיון דלית ליי עילוי כלל ומכיון שלא ניתן למכור לא יועילו זט״ה אך אם נמצא בו פסול ואינו אלא כחומשים שנמכרים להעלותם לקנות בדמיהם סיית שלם ומכיון שניתנים למכירה שוב נמכרים עייי זטייה ומתנין לשנות דמיהם אפיי למשתיי ביי שכרי אבל לא חייו להורידם מקדושת עצמם לעשות מהם מזוזה.
 - תפארת ישראל פרק סייז
- עיין בשויית ציץ אליעזר חלק יח סימן נז שכתב: ואם בכמה פריטים לא נהגינו כהכרעת המהרייל מפראג בזה, אבל לדבר זה שיש קדושת סיית גמורה גם כשחסר בה אותיות או תיבות, בודאי ובודאי שההכרעה היא בכזאת. וכפי שמצאנו להנוייב והחייס ויתר הגדולים שסייל גייכ בכזאת וכנזייל.
- שם: בכתבי עת פורסם מאמר שנאמר שם בפשיטות כי ספר תורה שנמצא בה טעות הטעון תיקון בחסר או ביתר, וכדומה, אזי עד שמתקנים זאת הסיית יורדת מקדושתה ודינה כסתם חומשים, ולא עוד אלא שמתברר על למפרע שכל הברכות שברכו עליה היו ברכות לבטלה, ובהוספת נימה שבגלל כן היו כאילו אנשי מעלה כאלה שמנעו אייע עד כמה שאפשר שלא לקבל עליה לתורה.
- שם, בסוף התשובה: ובדרך כלל, כל סיית שנבדקה בידי מגיה מומחה ושם חותמו על כשרותה, הרי היא קיימת ועומדת בחזקת כשרות, ואין מקום לחששות כלל, כאשר כן הוא בכל התורה כולה דמעמידין בכזאת ללכת בתר רוב או בתר חזקה, ולא ניתנה תורה למלאכי השרת. מובן שאם אחד רוצה להרבות בבדיקות נוספות ע"י מכונת - מחשבים שהמציאו, וכדומה, ובידו היכולת לכך, הרי זה משובח. אבל חיוב ליכא, ולו גם מבחינה זאת כדי שלא להוציא לעז על רבבות ספרי תורה קודמים של ימינו ושל ימים ראשונים, כפי שנוכחים באמת לדעת מרמזים שמרמזים לכך בדברי המערערים להוציא לעז כזה חייו, וחזייל חששו מאד על כך אפילו במקום שהלעז לא נכון, כדמצינו בכמה מקומות קלות וחמורות שאין כאן המקום לפורטן . עיייש.

The Mezuzah—A Single Parchment אין עושים מהם מזוזה

he Gemara teaches that if the written paragraphs of a Sefer Torah or tefillin have worn out and cannot be used anymore, we are not allowed to salvage the remaining parchment with the paragraph for a mezuzah and affix it to our door. This is because we are prohibited to take an item on a higher level of holiness (Torah or tefillin) and to use it for something of a lower level of holiness

(in this case, a mezuzah).

Rashi explains that we should not take the paragraphs of Shema and והיה אם שמוע and cut them out from their respective places in the Torah or tefillin parchments, and place them into the mezuzah on our doorpost. Tosafos points out that these paragraphs are not adjacent to each other in the Torah. In order to use them in a mezuzah, they would have to be cut out from their respective places and then sewn together. Rather, Tosafos explains that we are speaking about a situation where bottom of a column in a Torah. The suggestogether (ibid. ד'ה דילמא). ■ tion would be to take this paragraph and to

write below it (on the blank space) the next paragraph of והיה אם שמוע, thus completing the mezuzah. Nevertheless, this is not acceptable, because it would be a diminution of the holiness of the Torah.

Rashi, as noted, apparently holds that the single parchment which comprises a mezuzah can be made of several pieces sewn together. This is consistent with the comment of Rashi to Menachos 32a (דייה ודילמא להשלים). Tosafos refuses to consider this explanation, as they question whether a mezuzah can be the first paragraph of Shema is written at the made up of several pieces of parchment sewn

