

# **OVERVIEW** of the Daf

1) MISHNAH: The Mishnah begins with a dispute whether two witnesses are needed for seclusion the same as two witnesses are needed for a warning. A description of the warning the husband gives to his wife is presented.

### 2) The order of the Messechtos

The Gemara wonders why Masseches Sotah follows Masseches Nazir.

A Baraisa is cited to answer the Gemara's inquiry and following an additional challenge the Gemara explains the rationale for the order of Nedarim, Nazir and Sotah.

## 3) Clarifying the Mishnah

The word המקנא indicates that a man is prohibited to warn his wife against going into seclusion with another man.

## 4) Shidduchim

R' Shmuel bar R' Yitzchok would introduce masseches Sotah with an exposition related to shidduchim.

Rabbah bar bar Chana also describes the difficulty for Hashem to make shidduchim.

The assertion that it is difficult for Hashem to make shidduchim is challenged.

To answer this challenge the Gemara distinguishes between a first marriage and a second marriage.

### 5) Defilement

It is inferred that both R' Yehoshua and R' Eliezer would agree that only one witness is necessary for defilement (טומאה) and a Mishnah expresses the same position. The Gemara asks for the source that one witness should be believed.

A Baraisa is cited that provides the source for this ruling. The necessity for this exposition is unsuccessfully challenged.

### 6) Clarifying the dispute

The rationales behind R' Yehoshua and R' Eliezer's respective positions are explained.

R' Eliezer's position is unsuccessfully challenged.

A second version of R' Eliezer's position is presented.

The rationale behind this second version is explained.

A point that relates to whether there is an "end to the matter" in the Baraisa just cited containing the second version of R' Eliezer's position is clarified.

R' Chanina from Sura warns that nowadays one should be careful and not warn his wife against going into seclusion with another man since it may create an everlasting prohibition.

#### קינוי (7

# Distinctive INSIGHT

The juxtaposition of the laws of Sotah and the laws of Nazir

שכל הרואה סוטה בקלקולה יזיר עצמו מן היין

he Gemara notes that the significance of the juxtaposition of the laws of Sotah and the laws of Nazir is to teach us that anyone who sees a Sotah in her disgrace should separate himself from wine, which can lead to adultery.

Many are puzzled by this statement of Chazal, for one would assume that the man who has witnessed first hand the horrendous fate of a Sotah would truly appreciate the debased nature of this immoral behavior and should be the last to be enticed by it?

The Baal Shem Tov explained that if a person witnessed a Sotah in her disgrace he must interpret this as a heavenly lesson that he is weak in that area and he must consequently seek to improve himself and erect extra barriers to guard himself.

Based on this, Rabbi Tzvi Haskell suggests that we can perhaps understand another lesson of Chazal (Berachos 31a), that one who departs from his friend should do so with words of Torah so that through this he will remember him. This message of Chazal, however, is discussing a friend who otherwise might be forgotten, not a dear friend whose memory is etched in one's mind. If this is so, why is it so important to find a vehicle by which to remember him?

Perhaps with the insight of the Baal Shem Tov we now understand that when we meet people and become acquainted with them, it is not simply by chance. Rather,

(Continued on page 2)

# **REVIEW** and Remember

- 1. Explain the rationale for the order of the Massechtos of Kesubos, Nedarim, Nazir, and Sotah?
- 2. Are all shidduchim difficult for Hashem to make?
- 3. What is the basis for the dispute between R' Yehoshua and R' Eliezer?
- 4. Why is it important, nowadays, for a husband to avoid warning his wife from going into seclusion with another man?

# <u>HALACHAH Highlight</u>

Is a written warning valid?

וקנא את אשתו והיא נטמאה

"And he warned his wife and she became defiled."

Oefer Divrei Chachamim v'Chidosam¹ initially suggests that a husband should be able to write a warning to his wife the halachos of taking an oath where the Torah is particular that the oath should be articulated and merely writing an suggests that the warning must include an element of speech. Teshuvas Even Yekara<sup>2</sup> takes it for granted that a should be made verbally rather than orally. Minchas Pitim<sup>3</sup> valid but expresses uncertainty whether it is acceptable for the husband to write a warning and send it in the mail to warning is valid. his wife because it may be that she has to receive the written warning in the presence of witnesses.

Maharsham<sup>4</sup> also addressed this matter and wrote that he does know a reason a warning issued in writing should (Overview. Continued from page 1)

Reish Lakish defines the word קינוי which indicates that the husband has the power to establish that a warning was issued.

Abaye is cited as offering another definition of the word which indicates that two witnesses are needed to establish that a warning was issued.

not be valid if the wife recognizes and can read her husin the presence of witnesses. This would be different than band's handwriting. Furthermore, one could infer from a ruling of Rambam<sup>5</sup> that a warning issued in writing is acceptable. Rambam writes that a woman who cannot hear oath is not binding. He then expresses uncertainty about does not drink the bitter waters because the verse states the matter because the Yerushalmi indicates that the word ואמר אל –And he will say to his wife," which excludes דבר (Devarim 24:1) refers to the husband's warning which a wife who cannot hear. Why is an exposition necessary, asks Maharsham, since without the ability to hear she won't know that her husband issued a warning? The necessity for husband who warns his wife in writing has issued a valid the exposition indicates that the husband can issue a warnwarning because the warning is nothing more than an exing in a way other than speaking it to his wife, e.g. a written pression of protest against his wife's going into seclusion warning and the only reason to exclude a woman who is with a particular man and there is no reason the protest deaf is this exposition. Maharsham proceeds to offer alternative reasons why an exposition is necessary that don't necesalso accepts the assertion that a warning issued in writing is sarily indicate that a written warning is acceptable. In the end, however, he follows his initial approach that a written

- ספר דברי חכמים וחידותם סוטה פ"א ה"א
  - שו"ת אבן יקרה מהדו"ת סי
    - מנחת פיתים סי' קע"הח
    - שו"ת מהרש"ם ח"א סי רכ
  - רמב"ם פ"ב מהל' סוטה ה"ב-ג

"A Voice Calls Out..."

find, it usually takes some trying to not מכריחים or מכריחים which would find the right match. For some, it takes imply setting or deciding. It seems literally years of trying and meeting quite likely that another voice menwith dozens of prospective spouses, tions a different possibility, and a fur-Few are those who get engaged with ther voice intones a third possible the first person suggested.

zt"l, would pay the people who made they must meet before they find their each suggested shidduch his children true bashert. It is only after one meets met, even those that didn't work out. all the inappropriate matches that one When someone asked him regarding finally gets engaged to the right one."

this seemingly strange custom, he had a match. And perhaps even more. Either Rav Dovid Moshe from Tchortkov, way, most people have many people

The Rebbe concluded, "Therefore very interesting answer. "In Sotah 2, every match suggested and subsequent-Chazal tell us that a voice declares 'the ly met brings the real match closer to daughter of ploni to ploni' forty days reality. Now you can understand why I "בת קול יוצאת ואומרת...י before the fetus is formed. On the sur- pay each and every shadchan even if face it seems strange that the Gemara things don't work out. Since they are s most people in shidduchim describes the voice as saying אומרת and helping things along, they deserve monetary compensation!"

(Insight...Continued from page 1)

they, too, serve as our teachers of character traits and life experiences. The fear is that if we forget the teacher, we may forget the lessons too. From all this, we see that life is but a classroom and all of us are the students. May Hashem grant us the wisdom and the will to truly understand and appreciate all of Hashem's lessons to us.

