OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Converts serving as judges (cont.)

Rava presents the parameters of a convert serving as a judge.

2) The chalitza shoe

Rabbah and R' Yosef dispute the use of a minal and a sandal for chalitza.

The practical difference between their opinions is whether a minal may be used l'chatchila.

One of the positions is unsuccessfully challenged.

It is suggested that Tannaim dispute the same issue as Rabbah and R' Yosef.

The rationale of the opinion that does not allow a minal l'chatchila is explained.

Rav gives further descriptions of the chalitza shoe.

3) Three chalitza rulings from R' Yehudah in the name of Rav

R' Yehudah in the name of Rav teaches that chalitza is accomplished when the majority of the yavam's heel is removed from the sandal.

This ruling is unsuccessfully challenged.

The Baraisa that was cited supports a ruling of R' Yannai. A number of unanswered inquiries of R' Yannai are presented.

R' Nechemyah asked Rabbah about the effectiveness of chalitza performed on a yavam wearing more than one shoe. The inquiry is left unresolved.

R' Yehudah in the name of Rav rules that a yevama who grew up in the home with her deceased husband's brothers may do yibum without concern that she may have done chalitza.

This ruling is adjusted in response to a challenge.

A second version of this teaching is presented.

R' Yehudah in the name of Rav rules that a sandal sewn with linen cannot be used for chalitza.

The source of this ruling is unsuccessfully challenged.

The issue of using a shoe made exclusively from hair is discussed.

4) The meaning of the word חלץ

The Gemara demonstrates that the term \neg refers to removing the shoe rather than putting on the shoe.

A discussion between Rabban Gamliel and a heretic that revolves around the term אלץ is recorded.

5) Is a sock a shoe?

(Continued on page 2)

Distinctive INSIGHT

The power of a prophet

אם יבא אליהו ויאמר אין חולצין בנעל אין שומעין לו שכבר נהגו העם בנעל

Rambam writes (Introduction to Mishnah) that a prophet cannot tell us that he received a prophecy to detract or add to the mitzvos of the Torah. The authority to legislate Torah is not in Heaven. The verse instructs us (Devarim 17:9) to seek judgment by approaching the kohanim and levyim, who are the judges, but not that we should seek out the advice and ruling of a prophet. Therefore, if a prophet insists that he has been told to add or subtract from the mitzvos, this is a false prophet, and he is to be put to death. This is why our Gemara tells us that even Eliyahu cannot come and tell us that in the heavens it has been determined that chalitza must be done with a shoe, we would not be allowed to listen to him and act contrary to the traditional understanding that our sages have passed on to us.

Sdei Chemed cites the אחלי יצחק who explains that we would only reject the words of a prophet if he acknowledges that the halacha as we know it is correct, but that he was told that things will henceforth change to include either more or fewer details to the mitzvah. If, however, the prophet comes and informs us that we misunderstood the halacha, and that our understanding must be changed to be more accurate, in this case we would listen to the prophet, and we would change our observance.

שו"ת דברי יוסף explains that our Gemara seems to suggest the following distinction. If our custom is mistaken, but it was due to an error in logic, then we would maintain our custom, even against the technical halacha. As our Gemara states, "we will not listen to him, because we have already established our custom." If, however, the prophet informs us based upon his divinely directed vision that we are acting contrary to the Torah, we are obliged to listen to him.

REVIEW and **Remember**

- 1. What is the difference between סנעל and a סנדל?
- 2. Who is required to remove the shoe from the yavam's foot?
- 3. What material must be used for a chalitza shoe?
- 4. When is a sock a "shoe" and not a "sock"?

HALACHAH Highlight

Wearing non-leather shoes on Yom Kippur אבל מטייל הוא באנפילין בתוך ביתו But he may walk around in his socks in his house

Lithough there was a practice amongst some Amoraim to refrain from wearing non-leather shoes on Yom Kippur, the conclusion of our Gemara and the Gemara Yoma¹ seems to be that halacha follows the majority opinion that allows wearing non-leather shoes on Yom Kippur. The Rosh² cites an opinion that limits this ruling to wearing non-leather shoes in one's home, but prohibits wearing non-leather shoes in public. The basis for the position is derived from our Gema- from the suffering (עינור) one is supposed to experience ra that mentions wearing socks in one's home, which indicates that it is only acceptable on Yom Kippur to wear socks in one's home but not outside of one's home. The rationale when walking in the street one should choose shoes that alfor this distinction, writes Korban Nesanel³, is that since non low the person to feel the ground as he walks. Mishnah Beruleather shoes often appear like leather shoes, people may rah's conclusion is that although one should not protest mistakenly think that someone is violating the prohibition against those who wear comfortable non-leather shoes on against wearing leather shoes. To avoid this suspicion, Chazal Yom Kippur one should strive to be strict and refrain from did not allow wearing non-leather shoes in public. Rosh, wearing shoes when walking outside on Yom Kippur. however, disagrees with this conclusion and cites Yerushalmi that indicates that it is allowed to wear nonleather shoes in public. Shulchan Aruch⁴ rules in accordance with the lenient opinion and allows wearing non-leather shoes even in a public domain.

STORIES Off the

Dreaming of wealth יחלץ עני בעניו, בשכר עניו יחלצו מדינה של גיהנם

nce, a destitute man came to the Chofetz Chaim, zt"l, for a brochah. He started describing the terrible poverty in his home. "My floors are made of dirt, the house is often freezing, and I can hardly put bread on the table. The Gemara in Yevamos 92b, brings the verse from Iyov 36: 'A poor man will have his afflictions removed,' and explains that those who suffer destitution in this world have the tribulations of Gehinnom removed from them in the next world.

from this Gemara how difficult acute others suffering from want he also had poverty is, since one who suffers it suf- trouble putting food on the table. fers their fair share of Gehinnom in this world! Isn't it fitting for me to request a eat, and he explained to his students blessing for wealth?"

greed. "Quite the contrary! We see from dreamed, the Chofetz Chaim answered, the Gemara how worthwhile poverty is, "I dreamed that I became wealthy. So since through the difficulties of acute either way, I must fast. If this is a mespoverty one is purified and need not see sage from above that wealth has been Gehinnom! Surely being exceedingly decreed upon me, I certainly must fast to poor and bearing your suffering in this avert such an evil decree. And if not, world is worthwhile since it means that then the dream was the result of some you will never see Gehinnom!"

Chaim practiced what he preached. For ing anything that makes me wish to be virtually his entire life he suffered from wealthy, it is even more important that I dire poverty and had no wish to be fast!" ■ wealthy. He too had a dirt floor, his

(Overview. Continued from page 1) The Gemara infers from our Mishnah and another Mishnah that a sock is not the same as a shoe. This assertion is challenged. Abaye suggests a resolution that is refuted by Rava. Rava offers an alternative resolution that is accepted and

the Gemara declares that this resolution is logical. A Baraisa is cited that supports this answer.

Mishnah Berurah⁵ cites authorities who maintain that one should be strict, even concerning non-leather shoes, to wear shoes that do not provide much support or protection. The reason for this stringency is that wearing comfortable shoes, even if they are not made from leather, will detract during the day. The Chasam Sofer⁶ also cites these authorities and favors their conclusion. Consequently, he writes that

> גמ' יומא עח .1

- רא"ש ליומא פ"ח סי' ז' .2
- יקרבן נתנאל שם אות ז .3
- שוייע אוייח סיי תריייד סעי בי
 - מ"ב שם סק"ה .5
 - הגהותיו לשו"ע הנ"ל ..6

The poor man continued, "So we see house was also often freezing, and like

One time, the Chofetz Chaim didn't who asked that he was fasting a taanis The Chofetz Chaim, however, disa- chalom. When asked what he had random thoughts flitting through my It was well known that the Chofetz head during the day. And if I am think-



Daf Digest is published by the Chicago Center, under the leadership of HaRav Ýehoshua Eichenstein, shlit"a HaRav Pinchas Eichenstein, Nasi; HaRav Zalmen L. Eichenstein, Rosh Kollel; Rabbi Tzvi Bider, Executive Director, edited by Rabbi Ben-Zion Rand. Daf Yomi Digest has been made possible through the generosity of Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Ruben.