

## OVERVIEW of the Daf

### 1) Clarifying R' Chisda's opinion (cont.)

The Gemara unsuccessfully challenges the explanation of R' Chisda concerning liability for slaughtering the goats before the lottery was drawn.

### 2) A Korban Pesach slaughtered outside the Beis HaMikdash

R' Dimi quotes the ruling of R' Yochanan that one who slaughters a Korban Pesach outside of the Beis HaMikdash during the rest of the days of the year is not liable whether it was slaughtered for its own sake or not for its own sake.

R' Yirmiyah explains why there is no liability for slaughtering the Korban Pesach outside of the Beis HaMikdash not for its own sake.

Ravin quotes R' Yochanan as ruling in the above case that he is always liable.

The Gemara unsuccessfully challenges this ruling.

R' Ashi and R' Yirmiyah from Difti disagree regarding the correct text of Ravin's quote.

### 3) Slaughtering the goats outside the Beis HaMikdash after the lottery

The Baraisa cited earlier ruled that if the goats were slaughtered outside the Beis HaMikdash after the lottery was performed he is liable for the one for Hashem but exempt for the one for Azazel.

A Baraisa is cited as the source for this ruling of the Baraisa.

The Gemara unsuccessfully challenges the drosha employed by the Baraisa.

### 4) Designating for Azazel a goat less than eight days old

The Gemara questions why an earlier-cited Baraisa need-

(Continued on page 2)

## Distinctive INSIGHT

*Slaughter of an offering outside, when the doors are closed*

מאי טעמא מחוסר פתיחה כמחוסר מעשה דמי

The rule is that slaughtering an offering outside the Beis HaMikdash is prohibited, and one who does so is liable for כרת. There is no prohibition, however, if the offering is disqualified, and it was invalid to be brought in the Beis HaMikdash. Ravina holds that if a Shelamim is slaughtered before the gates of the Mikdash are opened, there is no liability, because such an offering is not valid. This is really an objective problem, as there is nothing wrong with the Shelamim itself. It could have been brought properly if the person had waited until the doors were open, and it would have fulfilled the requirement of being done מועד פתח אהל. Yet Ravina holds that the closed doors is considered a significant deficiency, and the Shelamim is disqualified. Rashi is of the opinion that because the requirement of "opening of the doors" is written in reference to a Shelamim, this is why this deficiency is considered מחוסר מעשה in this case.

Tosafos learns that the rule of פתיחה מחוסר is a general rule applicable by all offerings, which leads Tosafos to ask a question against Rashi. The Gemara (Zevachim 84) teaches that a limb of a disqualified offering which is placed upon the Altar must be removed (אם עלו ירדו). This, however, is only when the disqualification is universal. However, if a particular offering has a limited factor which effects only it, and this condition is allowed by all other offerings, then we allow the localized, albeit disqualified, limb to remain on the Altar (אם עלו לא ירדו). In our case, according to Rashi the Shelamim which was slaughtered with the doors closed is unacceptable due to a limited condition only by Shelamim. The limbs would be allowed to remain on the Altar if they were placed there mistakenly. Therefore, the Shelamim should be one which is חייב if slaughtered outside, because this is enough to qualify as fitting for פתח אהל מועד. Why, then, is the one who slaughters it outside exempt?

Tosafos answers that with the doors closed, the very שחיטה itself is deficient. This is theoretically a type of שחיטה which has no validity even if done in the courtyard of the Mikdash. In this case, the fact that it is לא עלו is insufficient to legitimize the שחיטה. This is unlike שחיטה of a פסח, which if done outside is also עלו שלא לשמה, but this could have been done inside properly. This is why the שחיטה of a פסח שלא לשמה outside would be חייב. ■

## REVIEW and Remember

1. What is the שמו designation for a Korban Pesach during the rest of the days of the year?  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. What is the reason there is no liability for slaughtering the goat for Azazel outside the Beis HaMikdash?  
\_\_\_\_\_
3. Does the word 'לה include or exclude?  
\_\_\_\_\_
4. How do we know that a blemish disqualifies the goat for Azazel?  
\_\_\_\_\_

# HALACHAH Highlight

## Validating shechitah with a mitzvah

טעמא דרבי רחמנא הא לא רבי הוה אמינא שעיר המשתלח קדוש במחודר זמן והא אין הגורל קובע אלא בראוי לשם

**S**hulchan Aruch<sup>1</sup> rules that one is liable for transgressing the prohibition against slaughtering a mother animal and her offspring on the same day only if the animals were slaughtered. If one animal was killed by piercing<sup>2</sup> rather than by slaughter there is no prohibition against slaughtering the other animal. Therefore, continues Shulchan Aruch, if a deaf-mute, insane or minor slaughters the first animal it is permitted to slaughter the second animal since the action of these people does not qualify halachically as shechitah.

Rav Shlomo Kluger<sup>3</sup> qualifies this ruling of Shulchan Aruch. We dismiss the action of the deaf-mute, insane or minor, only when they slaughtered for a non-mitzvah (elective) purpose, but if they performed a mitzvah when they slaughtered the animal, e.g., for an ill person, the prohibition against slaughtering the mother and her offspring will be in force. The reason is that since a mitzvah was performed with this slaughter it is considered a valid shechitah.

Proof to this principle can be inferred from our Gemara. The Gemara earlier asked why it is necessary to have a drosha to teach that a premature animal cannot be used for Azazel, when it should be disqualified because it has to fit to be brought as a korban and a premature animal is unfit for a korban. Rava answers<sup>4</sup> that drosha is necessary for a case where the mother of this goat was slaughtered on Yom Kip-

(Overview...Continued from page 1)

ed a drosha to indicate that a goat less than eight days old cannot be chosen for Azazel. It should be excluded because the goat needs to be fit to be offered to Hashem.

Two explanations are presented.

The Gemara explains why it is necessary for the Torah to teach that the Azazel goat can be disqualified if it is immature and if it is blemished. ■

pur for an ill person. The animal is considered premature because of the prohibition against slaughtering a mother and her offspring the same day. Even though, explains the Gemara, the offspring may not be “slaughtered,” which is normally necessary to violate the prohibition, and pushing the goat off the side of the cliff is tantamount to slaughtering it and thus the prohibition will be violated. We derive from here, explains Rav Kluger, that when an animal is killed while performing a mitzvah it is the same as slaughtering that animal. Thus, when a deaf-mute, insane or minor slaughters an animal in the performance of a mitzvah it is tantamount to a kosher slaughter in this regard. ■

1. שו"ע יו"ד סי' ט"ז סע' ט, "אין איסור אותו ואת בנו אלא בשחיטה בלבד, שנאמר לא תשחטו (ויקרא כב, כח) אבל אם ניחר (פי' ענין הנחירה הוא שתוחב הסכין בנחיריו וחודת) את הראשון, או נתבלה בידו, מותר לשחוט השני, לפיכך, חרש שוטה וקטן ששחטו את הראשון בינם לבין עצמם, מותר לשחוט השני אחריהם, לפי שרוב מעשיהם מקולקלים
2. ע' רש"י מסכת חולין דף יז. ד"ה והנוחר וז"ל, "ולשון נחירה שקורעה לאורכה מנחירה ועד החזה"
3. שו"ת האלף לך שלמה יו"ד סי' כ'
4. דף סד. "רבא אמר כגון שהיה לו חולה בתוך ביתו ושחט אמו ביום הכפורים. וכי האי גוונא מי אסיר, "לא תשחטו" אמר רחמנא יהא לאו שחיטה היא? הא אמרי במערבא דחיתו לצוק זו היא שחיטתו" ■

# STORIES Off the Daf

## The Blessing in Numbers

כל הראוי לפתח אהל מועד חייב עליו בחוץ

**T**he Heichal Habrachah, ז"ל, explains what it means to sacrifice “outside.” The Beis Hamikdash is the place toward which the entire Jewish people direct their heart’s prayers every day; we met there every festival, and could only bring our sacrifices there. It is the epicenter of sanctity in the world, the designated place for atonement. But we find that Hashem always balances out the forces for holiness and for impurity in the universe. “Outside” the Beis Hamikdash alludes to

the convergence of spiritual opposition that descends upon the person who separates himself from the rest of the Jewish people. Since part of the great sanctity of the Beis Hamikdash was expressed in it being the focal point that unified us, the descent into impurity is defined by stepping “outside,” alone. All evil is really falsehood, שקר, and the way we are freed from its influence is by staying “inside” the Beis Hamikdash, in connection, קשר, with the rest of the Jewish people. This is why we accept upon ourselves the mitzvah of ואהבת לרעך כמוך before praying in the morning. Love of our fellow Jews ensures that our prayers will be answered.

Once, a simple Jew from a certain village complained to Rav Moshe of Kov-

rin, ז"ל. “I was always the only Jew in my village, with no Jewish neighbors, and I made a good living. Lately, more and more Jews have been settling in my area and they’re competing with my business. I’m losing my parnossah because of them!”

“This is the meaning of the verse in Tehilim,” explained the Rebbe. “It says: רבים מכאובי לרשע, והבוטח בה' יסובבנהו Many are the pains of the wicked, but he who trusts in Hashem will be surrounded by kindnesses.’ The many Jews who settle around the wicked man cause him pain. But the righteous man realizes that the Jews who surround him are actually helping him. It is a great chessed to live among other Jews!” ■