Torah Chesed

T'OJ

OVERVIEW of the Daf

1) Partial entry (cont.)

The Gemara concludes that despite their disagreement both Abaye and Rava maintain that tum'ah is pushed aside (דחניה) for the community.

A proof for Ulla's position that partial entry is considered entry is presented.

The proof is rejected.

A second version of R' Yosef's rejection of the proof for Ulla is cited.

R' Ada bar Masna explains why a metzorah performs semicha at Nikanor Gate when he should be allowed to enter the azarah for this purpose.

A second version of R' Ada bar Masna's teaching is presented.

The second version of R' Ada bar Masna's teaching is challenged and thus revised.

Ravina offers another resolution to the challenge to Ulla on 32b.

2) Touching sacred food

Ravin in the name of R' Avahu asserts that Reish Lakish's ruling related to a tamei person who touched sacred food. This follows the disagreement between Reish Lakish and R' Yochanan whether a person receives lashes for touching sacred food while tamei.

Each Amora explains the rationale behind his position.

Reish Lakish's position that a tamei person who touches sacred food receives lashes is unsuccessfully challenged.

A Baraisa is cited in support of the position of Reish Lakish. ■

REVIEW and Remember

- 1. For which korban did the principle תכף לסמיכה שחיטה not apply?
- 2. What restricts administrators from making changes to the Beis HaMikdash?
- 3. According to Ravina, what is the punishment for a tamei person who extends his hand into the azarah?
- 4. What is the point of dispute between Reish Lakish and R' Yochanan?

Distinctive INSIGHT

A metzora does not reach into the courtyard to lean איכא דאמרי אמר רב יוסף כל הסומך ראשו ורובו מכניס מאי טעמא כל כחו בעינן הלכך לא אפשר

lla said (32b) that if a person who was impure inserted his hands into the courtyard, he is liable for lashes. Based upon verses, he holds that partial entry into the courtyard of the Beis HaMikdash is considered as if one has entered fully.

On our daf, the Gemara attempts to bring a proof for this opinion from a Baraisa which deals with the halacha of an owner of an animal who leans on the animal before it is slaughtered for an offering. We are told that generally, the procedure of leaning on the animal is done immediately before the animal is slaughtered. The exception to this rule is the offering of a metzora, who was yet impure and could not enter the courtyard. The metzora stood at the entrance to the Nikanor Gate, and the animal to be used for his asham offering was brought to him. After he leaned upon it, the animal was escorted to the courtyard, and only then was it slaughtered. We see, notes the Gemara, that the metzora was not able to even reach into the courtyard with his hands to lean on the animal, because this partial entry would have itself been considered a full entry, which the metzora could not do due to his being impure.

Rav Yosef refutes this proof. According to the second version of this disproof, Rav Yosef points out that it may be that partial entry into the courtyard might not be considered as full entry. Nevertheless, the metzora could not suffice by simply reaching into the courtyard to lean on his asham, because the procedure of leaning on an animal being brought as an offering requires that the owner place not only his hands upon the animal, but his head and most of his body must also be in the courtyard, in order that he lean with all his strength. Therefore, the metzora cannot suffice by extending his hands into the courtyard.

Mahari Kurkos notes that Rav Yosef's answer can be

(Continued on page 2)

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated By the Okner family In memory of their grandmother Mrs. Minnie Kaplan

Today's Daf Digest is dedicated לע"ג מרת שיינדעל מרים בת ר' יחיאל יוסף Jean Erdfrucht, a"h

HALACHAH Highlight

Putting one's fingers between the stones of the Kosel מקיש קודש למקדש מה מקדש דבר שיש בו נטילת נשמה וכוי

We equate sacred items to the Sanctuary, just as the reference to the Sanctuary refers to a circumstance of taking one's life etc.

▲ he Gemara presents a disagreement whether partial entry is concerned entry regarding the prohibition against one who is tamei entering the Beis HaMikdash. This issue has practical relevance regarding the permissibility to put one's hands in the cracks and crevices of the Kosel HaMa'aravi. Rambam¹ rules that one who enters the area of the Beis HaMikdash even nowadays is liable to kareis since the original sanctity is everlasting even in the absence of the Beis HaMikdash. Accordingly, if the Kosel is the wall to the area of the Beis HaMikdash, then putting one's fingers inside of the wall is considered partial entry and therefore prohibited.

raries and one of the issues he raises is whether the principle verse that says (Vayikra 12:4), בכל קודש לא תגע ואל המקדש לא should not enter the sanctuary. This teaches that just as partial contact is the same as full contact so too partial entry is birth and such a woman is not permitted to enter the מחנה אלויה which corresponds to Har HaBayis. Thus it is evident that the principle of partial entry applies even to Har HaBayis.

(Insight...continued from page 1)

explained in either of two ways. One approach is that it is impossible to lean with all of one's power by simply reaching into the courtyard with one's arms. Proper leaning requires that one's head and body be adjacent to the animal to add to the arm's leverage. Another approach is that it is, in fact, possible to lean with one's full power with the arms alone. However, we are afraid that while reaching with the arms, the metzora will perhaps lean over with his head and body as well, as he strives to lean with all his weight. This would make the metzora liable for kareis. This is why we do not allow him to reach over and lean.

Rambam (Hilchos Ma'asei HaKorbanos 3:11,13) rules that when an owner leans on his animal before it is slaughtered, he must do so with all his might. Kesef Mishnah points out that Rambam rules according to this version of R' Yosef.

Avnei Nezer rejected this proof based on a later discussion in Avnei Nezer² records the analysis of one of his contempo- the Gemara. The Gemara explains that the basis of this parallel is that just as the case of the sacred item is punishable with that partial entry is considered entry applies even to the Har kareis, so too the case of entering the Sanctuary refers to a HaBayis. It was suggested that our Gemara demonstrates that location that is punishable with kareis. The punishment for the principle of partial entry applies even to Har HaBayis. entering Har HaBayis while tamei is not punishable with ka-The Gemara derives the principle of partial entry from the reis. Consequently, although the source for this exposition is the woman who gave birth who is prohibited from entering - She should not touch anything that is sacred and she Har HaBayis, the exposition is derived from the kareis-bearing prohibition against entering the Azarah. Based on this analysis and some additional considerations, Avnei Nezer ruled the same as full entry. This verse discusses a woman who gave that one could be lenient regarding the question of putting one's finger in the cracks and crevices of the Kosel.■

- רמביים פייו מהלי בית הבחירה היייד.
 - שויית אבני נזר יוייד סיי תיינ.

Impurity is "Pushed Away" ייטומאה דחויה בציבור...יי

abbeinu Yonah, zt"l, explains the verse in Mishlei, 'תאוה יבקש נפרד —Desire seeks solitude' – to mean that when one wishes to indulge in illicit desires he first conceals himself from others as much as possible. He does this to safeguard his honor, but also because when one is alone he can selfishly pamper himself without thinking of anything or anyone else. It is much harder

the company of friends.

the community is impure, the status of This explains why 'טומאה דחויה בציבור'. impurity is deferred.' The moment we are with other Jews whose collective goal is to serve Hashem, we are not focused on the negative, on our various states of impurity. Our focal point is to serve Hashem and the best of us comes to the fore, literally pushing aside the negative.

The Shem MiShmuel, zt"l, explains this with a short parable. "When a person's heart is filled with joy until it is fig-

to focus exclusively on oneself while in uratively bursting from his elation he doesn't differentiate between his friends This may explain part of why or his enemies. He feels that all is right שומאה דחויה בציבור' — When most of with the world and he is at peace with all. When the Jewish people gathers together, all impurity is pushed away. Just as when a person is so filled with joy that he doesn't care to distinguish, when we ascend on high and are so filled with love for each other, holiness prevails. There is so much joy on high that the community is borne along with all impurity which has become attached to it."1

1. שם משמואל, חנוכה ■

